Solutions

1.

a. First determine the eigenvalues:

Next, determine the eigenvectors. First, the eigenvector associated with eigenvalue -2:

 $\begin{array}{ll} -1 & 2 & C_{11} \\ 2 & 2 & C_{21} \\ \end{array} = -2 & C_{21} \\ -C_{11} + 2C_{21} = -2C_{11} \\ \\ C_{11} = -2C_{21} \text{ (Note: The second row offers no new information, e.g. } 2C_{11} \\ + 2C_{21} = -2C_{21}) \\ \\ C_{11}^2 + C_{21}^2 = -2C_{21} \\ \\ C_{11}^2 + C_{21}^2 = 1 \\ (\text{from normalization}) \\ (-2C_{21})^2 + C_{21}^2 = 1 \\ \\ 4C_{21}^2 + C_{21}^2 = 1 \\ \\ 5C_{21}^2 = 1 \\ \\ C_{21}^2 = 0.2 \\ \\ C_{21} = \sqrt{0.2} \text{, and therefore } C_{11} = -2\sqrt{0.2} \text{.} \end{array}$

For the eigenvector associated with eigenvalue 3:

$$\begin{array}{ll} -1 & 2 & C_{12} \\ 2 & 2 & C_{22} \\ \end{array} = 3 & C_{22} \\ -C_{12} + 2C_{22} = 3C_{12} \\ -4C_{12} = -2C_{22} \\ C_{12} = 0.5C_{22} \text{ (again the second row offers no new information)} \\ C_{12}^2 + C_{22}^2 = 1 & (from normalization) \\ (0.5C_{22})^2 + C_{22}^2 = 1 \\ 0.25C_{22}^2 + C_{22}^2 = 1 \\ 1.25C_{22}^2 = 1 \\ C_{22}^2 = 0.8 \\ C_{22} = \sqrt{0.8} = 2\sqrt{0.2} \text{ , and therefore } C_{12} = \sqrt{0.2} \text{ .} \end{array}$$

Therefore the eigenvector matrix becomes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -2\sqrt{0.2} & \sqrt{0.2} \\ \sqrt{0.2} & 2\sqrt{0.2} \end{array}$$

b. First determine the eigenvalues:

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} -2 & - & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & - & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & - \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
$$\det \begin{bmatrix} -2 & - \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \det \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & - & - \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

From 1a, the solutions then become -2, -2, and 3. Next, determine the eigenvectors. First the eigenvector associated with eigenvalue 3 (the third root):

Next, find the pair of eigenvectors associated with the degenerate eigenvalue of -2. First, root one eigenvector one:

$$\begin{aligned} -2C_{11} &= -2C_{11} \ (\text{no new information from row one}) \\ -C_{21} &= -2C_{31} &= -2C_{21} \ (\text{row two}) \\ C_{21} &= -2C_{31} \ (\text{again the third row offers no new information}) \\ C_{11}^2 &+ C_{21}^2 + C_{31}^2 = 1 \ (\text{from normalization}) \\ C_{11}^2 &+ (-2C_{31})^2 + C_{31}^2 = 1 \\ C_{11}^2 &+ 5C_{31}^2 = 1 \\ C_{11} &= \\ \sqrt{1 - 5C_{31}^2} \ (\text{Note: There are now two equations with three unknowns.}) \end{aligned}$$

Second, root two eigenvector two:

 $-2C_{12} = -2C_{12} \text{ (no new information from row one)}$ $-C_{22} + 2C_{32} = -2C_{22} \text{ (row two)}$ $C_{22} = -2C_{32} \text{ (again the third row offers no new information)}$ $C_{12}^2 + C_{22}^2 + C_{32}^2 = 1 \text{ (from normalization)}$ $C_{12}^2 + (-2C_{32})^2 + C_{32}^2 = 1$ $C_{12}^2 + 5C_{32}^2 = 1$ $C_{12} = (1 - 5C_{32}^{-2})^{1/2} \text{ (Note: again, two equations in three unknowns)}$ $C_{11}C_{12} + C_{21}C_{22} + C_{31}C_{32} = 0 \text{ (from orthogonalization)}$

Now there are five equations with six unknowns.

Arbitrarily choose
$$C_{11} = 0$$

(whenever there are degenerate eigenvalues, there are not unique eigenvectors because the degenerate eigenvectors span a 2- or more- dimensional space, not two unique directions. One always is then forced to choose one of the coefficients and then determine all the rest; different choices lead to different final eigenvectors but to identical spaces spanned by these eigenvectors).

$$C_{11} = 0 = \sqrt{1 - 5C_{31}^2}$$

$$5C_{31}^2 = 1$$

$$C_{31} = \sqrt{0.2}$$

$$C_{21} = -2\sqrt{0.2}$$

$$C_{11}C_{12} + C_{21}C_{22} + C_{31}C_{32} = 0 \text{ (from orthogonalization)}$$

$$0 + -2\sqrt{0.2}(-2C_{32}) + \sqrt{0.2}C_{32} = 0$$

$$5C_{32} = 0$$

$$C_{32} = 0$$
, $C_{22} = 0$, and $C_{12} = 1$

Therefore the eigenvector matrix becomes:

a. K.E.
$$= \frac{mv^2}{2} = \frac{m}{m} \frac{mv^2}{2} = \frac{(mv)^2}{2m} = \frac{p^2}{2m}$$

K.E. $= \frac{1}{2m}(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2)$
K.E. $= \frac{1}{2m} \frac{\hbar}{i x}^2 + \frac{\hbar}{i y}^2 + \frac{\hbar}{i z}^2$
K.E. $= \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{2}{x^2} + \frac{2}{y^2} + \frac{2}{z^2}$
b. $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{i}p_x + \mathbf{j}p_y + \mathbf{k}p_z$

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{i} \, \frac{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{j} \, \frac{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{k} \, \frac{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{z}}$$

where \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} , and \mathbf{k} are unit vectors along the x, y, and z axes.

c.
$$L_y = zp_x - xp_z$$

$$L_y = z \frac{\hbar}{i x} - x \frac{\hbar}{i z}$$

3.

First derive the general formulas for \overline{x} , \overline{y} , \overline{z} in terms of r, , and , and \overline{r} , $\overline{-}$,

and — in terms of x,y, and z. The general relationships are as follows:

First \overline{x} , \overline{y} , and \overline{z} from the chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}} \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}} \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}} \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}} \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}} \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{z}} \\ \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{z}} \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{z}} \\ \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{z}} \\ \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{z}} \\ \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{z}} \\ \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}$$

Evaluation of the many "coefficients" gives the following:

$$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}} = \operatorname{Sin} \operatorname{Cos}, \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}} = \frac{\operatorname{Cos} \operatorname{Cos}}{\mathbf{r}}, \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}} = -\frac{\operatorname{Sin}}{\operatorname{r} \operatorname{Sin}},$$
$$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}} = \operatorname{Sin} \operatorname{Sin}, \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}} = \frac{\operatorname{Cos} \operatorname{Sin}}{\mathbf{r}}, \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}} = \frac{\operatorname{Cos}}{\operatorname{r} \operatorname{Sin}},$$
$$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{z}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} = \operatorname{Cos}, \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{z}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} = -\frac{\operatorname{Sin}}{\mathbf{r}}, \text{ and } \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{z}}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} = 0.$$

Upon substitution of these "coefficients":

$$\frac{1}{x} = \operatorname{Sin} \operatorname{Cos} \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\operatorname{Cos} \operatorname{Cos}}{r} - \frac{\operatorname{Sin}}{r \operatorname{Sin}} - ,$$

$$\frac{1}{y} = \operatorname{Sin} \operatorname{Sin} \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\operatorname{Cos} \operatorname{Sin}}{r} - + \frac{\operatorname{Cos}}{r \operatorname{Sin}} - , \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{1}{z} = \operatorname{Cos} \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\operatorname{Sin}}{r} - + 0 - .$$

Next $\frac{1}{r}$, $\frac{1}{r}$, and $\frac{1}{r}$ from the chain rule:

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{x}{r}, \quad \frac{1}{x} + \frac{y}{r}, \quad \frac{1}{y} + \frac{z}{r}, \quad \frac{1}{z},$$

$$= \frac{x}{r}, \quad \frac{1}{x} + \frac{y}{r}, \quad \frac{1}{y} + \frac{z}{r}, \quad \frac{1}{z}, \text{and}$$

$$= \frac{x}{r}, \quad \frac{1}{x} + \frac{y}{r}, \quad \frac{1}{y} + \frac{z}{r}, \quad \frac{1}{z}.$$

Again evaluation of the the many "coefficients" results in:

$$\frac{x}{r} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}, \quad \frac{y}{r} = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}},$$
$$\frac{z}{r} = \frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}, \quad \frac{x}{r} = \frac{x z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}, \quad \frac{y}{r} = \frac{y z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}},$$
$$\frac{z}{r} = -\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \quad \frac{x}{r} = -y, \quad \frac{y}{r} = x, \text{ and } \quad \frac{z}{r} = 0$$

Upon substitution of these "coefficients":

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \frac{1}{x} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \frac{1}{y} + \frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \frac{1}{z}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{x} \mathbf{z}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{y}^2}} \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{\mathbf{y} \mathbf{z}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{y}^2}} \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}} - \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{y}^2} \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}}$$
$$= -\mathbf{y} \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{x} \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}} + 0 \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}}.$$

Note, these many "coefficients" are the elements which make up the Jacobian matrix used whenever one wishes to transform a function from one coordinate representation to another. One very familiar result should be in transforming the volume element dxdydz to $r^2Sin drd d$. For example:

$$f(x,y,z)dxdydz =$$

$$\frac{x}{r} \qquad \frac{x}{r} \qquad \frac{x$$

a. $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{i}} \quad \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{x}} = \frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{rSin} \quad \mathrm{Sin} \quad \mathrm{Cos} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} - \frac{\mathrm{Sin}}{\mathrm{r}} - \frac{\mathrm{Sin}}{\mathrm{sin}} - \frac{\mathrm{Sin}}{\mathrm{r}} - \frac{\mathrm{Sin}}{\mathrm{r}} - \frac{\mathrm{Sin}}{\mathrm{r}} - \frac{\mathrm{Sin}}{\mathrm{sin}} - \frac{\mathrm{Sin}}{$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{X}} = -\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}$$
 Sin — + Cot Cos —

b. $\mathbf{L}_{z} = \frac{\hbar}{i} - = -i\hbar$

	<u> </u>	dB/dx	d^2B/dx^2
i.	$4x^4 - 12x^2 + 3$	16x ³ - 24x	48x ² - 24
ii.	5x ⁴	20x ³	60x ²
iii.	$e^{3x} + e^{-3x}$	$3(e^{3x} - e^{-3x})$	$9(e^{3x} + e^{-3x})$
iv.	$x^2 - 4x + 2$	2x - 4	2
v.	4x ³ - 3x	12x ² - 3	24x

B(v.) is an eigenfunction of A(i.):

$$(1-x^{2})\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} - x\frac{d}{dx} B(v.) =$$

$$(1-x^{2})(24x) - x(12x^{2} - 3)$$

$$24x - 24x^{3} - 12x^{3} + 3x$$

$$-36x^{3} + 27x$$

$$-9(4x^{3} - 3x) \text{ (eigenvalue is -9)}$$

B(iii.) is an eigenfunction of A(ii.):

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$
 B(iii.) =
9(e^{3x} + e^{-3x}) (eigenvalue is 9)

B(ii.) is an eigenfunction of A(iii.):

$$x \frac{d}{dx} B(ii.) =$$
$$x (20x^3)$$

20x⁴ 4(5x⁴) (eigenvalue is 4)

B(i.) is an eigenfunction of A(vi.):

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2x \frac{d}{dx} B(i) =$$

$$(48x^2 - 24) - 2x (16x^3 - 24x)$$

$$48x^2 - 24 - 32x^4 + 48x^2$$

$$-32x^4 + 96x^2 - 24$$

$$-8(4x^4 - 12x^2 + 3) \text{ (eigenvalue is -8)}$$

B(iv.) is an eigenfunction of A(v.):

$$x \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (1-x) \frac{d}{dx} B(iv.) =$$

$$x (2) + (1-x) (2x - 4)$$

$$2x + 2x - 4 - 2x^2 + 4x$$

$$-2x^2 + 8x - 4$$

$$-2(x^2 - 4x + 2) \text{ (eigenvalue is -2)}$$









6.



















Radial Function R(r)

Radial Function R(r)







Radial Function R(r)



8.

i. In ammonia, the only "core" orbital is the N 1s and this becomes an a_1 orbital in C_{3v} symmetry. The N 2s orbitals and 3 H 1s orbitals become 2 a_1 and an e set of orbitals. The remaining N 2p orbitals also become 1 a_1 and a set of e orbitals. The total valence orbitals in C_{3v} symmetry are $3a_1$ and 2e orbitals.

ii. In water, the only core orbital is the O 1s and this becomes an a_1 orbital in C_{2v} symmetry. Placing the molecule in the yz plane allows us to further analyze the remaining valence orbitals as: O $2p_z = a_1$, O $2p_y$ as b_2 , and O $2p_x$ as b_1 . The (H 1s + H 1s) combination is an a_1 whereas the (H 1s - H 1s) combination is a b_2 .

iii. Placing the oxygens of H₂O₂ in the yz plane (z bisecting the oxygens) and the (cis) hydrogens distorted slightly in +x and -x directions allows us to analyze the orbitals as follows. The core O 1s + O 1s combination is an a orbital whereas the O 1s - O 1s combination is a b orbital. The valence orbitals are: O 2s + O 2s = a, O 2s - O 2s = b, O $2p_x + O 2p_x = b$, O $2p_x - O 2p_x = a$, O $2p_y + O 2p_y = a$, O $2p_y - O 2p_y = b$, O $2p_z + O 2p_z = b$, O $2p_z - O 2p_z = a$, H 1s + H 1s = a, and finally the H 1s - H 1s = b.

iv. For the next two problems we will use the convention of choosing the z axis as principal axis for the D_h, D_{2h} , and C_{2v} point groups and the xy plane as the horizontal reflection plane in C_s symmetry.

	D _h	D _{2h}	C_{2v}	Cs
N 1s	g	ag	a ₁	a'
N 2s	g	ag	a ₁	a'
N 2p _x	xu	b _{3u}	b ₁	a'

N 2py	yu	b _{2u}	b ₂	a'
N 2pz	u	b _{1u}	a ₁	a''

9.

a.
$$n(x) = \frac{2}{L} \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{n \cdot x}{L}$$
$$P_n(x)dx = \frac{1}{|x|} (x) dx$$

The probability that the particle lies in the interval 0 x $\frac{L}{4}$ is given by:

$$P_{n} = \frac{\frac{L}{4}}{0} P_{n}(x) dx = \frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{\frac{L}{4}} Sin^{2} \frac{n x}{L} dx$$

This integral can be integrated to give :

$$P_{n} = \frac{L}{n} \quad \frac{2}{L} \quad Sin^{2} \frac{n \cdot x}{L} d \frac{n \cdot x}{L}$$

$$P_{n} = \frac{L}{n} \quad \frac{2}{L} \quad \frac{n}{4}Sin^{2} d$$

$$P_{n} = \frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{4}Sin^{2} + \frac{n}{4} = \frac{n}{4}$$

$$= \frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{4}Sin\frac{2n}{4} + \frac{n}{(2)(4)}$$

$$=\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2 n} \sin \frac{n}{2}$$

b. If n is even, Sin $\frac{n}{2} = 0$ and $P_n = \frac{1}{4}$.

If n is odd and n = 1,5,9,13, ... Sin $\frac{n}{2}$ = 1

and $P_n = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2 n}$

If n is odd and n = 3,7,11,15, ... Sin $\frac{n}{2}$ = -1

and $P_n = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2 n}$

The higher P_n is when n = 3. Then $P_n = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{23}$

$$P_n = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} = 0.303$$

c. $(t) = e^{\frac{-iHt}{\hbar}} \begin{bmatrix} a & a + b & m \end{bmatrix} = a & ae^{\frac{-iE_nt}{\hbar}} + b & me^{\frac{-iE_mt}{\hbar}} \end{bmatrix}$

$$H = a \ _{n}E_{n}e^{\frac{-iE_{n}t}{\hbar}} + b \ _{m}E_{m}e^{\frac{iE_{m}t}{\hbar}}$$

<
$$|H| > = |a|^2 E_n + |b|^2 E_m + a^* be^{\frac{i(E_n - E_m)t}{\hbar}} < |h| m >$$

$$+b^*ae^{\frac{-i(E_m-E_n)t}{\hbar}} < m|H| n>$$

Since < n|H| m > and < m|H| n > are zero,

 $< \ |H| \ > = |a|^2 E_n + |b|^2 E_m$ (note the time independence)

d. The fraction of systems observed in $_n$ is $|a|^2$. The possible energies measured are E_n and E_m . The probabilities of measuring each of these energies is $|a|^2$ and $|b|^2$.

e. Once the system is observed in n, it stays in n.

f.
$$P(E_n) = \langle n | \rangle^2 = |c_n|^2$$

$$L_{c_n} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}Sin \frac{n \cdot x}{L}} \sqrt{\frac{30}{L^5}} x(L-x) dx$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{60}{L^6}} x(L-x)Sin \frac{n \cdot x}{L} dx$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{60}{L^6}} L_{a} xSin \frac{n \cdot x}{L} dx - x^2Sin \frac{n \cdot x}{L} dx$$

These integrals can be evaluated to give:

$$c_{n} = \sqrt{\frac{60}{L^{6}}} L \frac{L^{2}}{n^{2} 2} Sin \frac{n x}{L} - \frac{Lx}{n} Cos \frac{n x}{L} \frac{L}{0}$$
$$-\sqrt{\frac{60}{L^{6}}} \frac{2xL^{2}}{n^{2} 2} Sin \frac{n x}{L} - \frac{n^{2} 2x^{2}}{L^{2}} - 2 \frac{L^{3}}{n^{3} 3} Cos \frac{n x}{L} \frac{L}{0}$$
$$c_{n} = \sqrt{\frac{60}{L^{6}}} \left\{ \frac{L^{3}}{n^{2} 2} (Sin(n) - Sin(0)) - \frac{L^{2}}{n} (LCos(n) - 0Cos0) \right\}$$
$$- \left(\frac{2L^{2}}{n^{2} 2} (LSin(n) - 0Sin(0)) \right]$$

$$\left. \left. \begin{array}{l} -\left(n^{2} - 2 \right) \frac{L^{3}}{n^{3} - 3} \cos(n \right) \\ + \frac{n^{2} - 2(0)}{L^{2}} - 2 \frac{L^{3}}{n^{3} - 3} \cos(0) \right) \right\}$$

$$c_{n} = L^{-3}\sqrt{60} \left\{ -\frac{L^{3}}{n} \cos(n) + (n^{2} - 2) \frac{L^{3}}{n^{3} - 3} \cos(n) \right\}$$

$$+\frac{2L^3}{n^{3}3}$$

$$c_{n} = \sqrt{60} - \frac{1}{n}(-1)^{n} + (n^{2} - 2)\frac{1}{n^{3} - 3}(-1)^{n} + \frac{2}{n^{3} - 3}$$

$$c_{n} = \sqrt{60} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{n^{3} - 3}(-1)^{n} + \frac{2}{n^{3} - 3}$$

$$c_{n} = \frac{2\sqrt{60}}{n^{3} - 3}(-(-1)^{n} + 1)$$

$$|c_{n}|^{2} = \frac{4(60)}{n^{6} - 6}(-(-1)^{n} + 1)^{2}$$
If n is even then $c_{n} = 0$

If n is odd then
$$c_n = \frac{(4)(60)(4)}{n^6 - 6} = \frac{960}{n^6 - 6}$$

The probability of making a measurement of the energy and obtaining one of the eigenvalues, given by:

$$E_n = \frac{n^2 2\hbar^2}{2mL^2}$$
 is:

$$P(E_n) = 0 \text{ if } n \text{ is even}$$

$$P(E_n) = \frac{960}{n^6 - 6} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L\\ g. < |H| > &= \int_{0}^{L} \frac{30}{L^5} \frac{1}{2} x(L-x) \frac{-h^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \frac{30}{L^5} \frac{1}{2} x(L-x) dx\\ &= \frac{30}{L^5} \frac{-h^2}{2m} x(L-x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} (xL-x^2) dx\\ &= \frac{-15h^2}{mL^5} \frac{L}{0} x(L-x)(-2) dx\\ &= \frac{30h^2}{mL^5} \frac{L}{0} xL-x^2 dx\\ &= \frac{30h^2}{mL^5} \frac{L^3}{2} \frac{L^3}{3}\\ &= \frac{30h^2}{mL^2} \frac{L^3}{2} \frac{1}{3}\\ &= \frac{30h^2}{mL^2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3}\\ &= \frac{30h^2}{6mL^2} = \frac{5h^2}{mL^2} \end{aligned}$$

10.

$$\langle |H| \rangle = C_i^* e^{\frac{iE_it}{\hbar}} \langle |H| \rangle e^{\frac{-iE_jt}{\hbar}} C_j$$

Since $< i|H| j > = E_j ij$

< |H| > =
$$C_j^* C_j E_j e^{\frac{i(E_j - E_j)t}{\hbar}}$$

< |H| > = $C_j^* C_j E_j$ (not time dependent)

For other properties:

$$\langle |A| \rangle = C_i^* e^{\frac{iE_it}{\hbar}} \langle |A| _j \geq e^{\frac{-iE_jt}{\hbar}} C_j$$

but, $\langle i|A| \rangle_{j}$ does not necessarily = $a_{j} \rangle_{ij}$ because the β_{j} are not eigenfunctions of A unless [A,H] = 0.

$$< |A| > = C_i^* C_j e^{\frac{i(E_i - E_j)t}{\hbar}} < i|A| j>$$

Therefore, in general, other properties are time dependent.

11.

a. The lowest energy level for a particle in a 3-dimensional box is when $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = 1$, and $n_3 = 1$. The total energy (with $L_1 = L_2 = L_3$) will be:

$$E_{\text{total}} = \frac{h^2}{8mL^2} (n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2) = \frac{3h^2}{8mL^2}$$

Note that n = 0 is not possible. The next lowest energy level is when one of the three quantum numbers equals 2 and the other two equal 1:

$$n_1 = 1, n_2 = 1, n_3 = 2$$

 $n_1 = 1, n_2 = 2, n_3 = 1$
 $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 1, n_3 = 1.$

Each of these three states have the same energy:

$$E_{\text{total}} = \frac{h^2}{8mL^2} (n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2) = \frac{6h^2}{8mL^2}$$

Note that these three states are only degenerate if $L_1 = L_2 = L_3$.

b.

$$L_1 = L_2 = L_3$$
 $L_3 \quad L_1 = L_2$

For
$$L_1 = L_2 = L_3$$
, $V = L_1 L_2 L_3 = L_1^3$,

 $E_{total}(L_1) = 2_1 + 2_2$

$$= \frac{2h^2}{8m} \frac{1^2}{L_1^2} + \frac{1^2}{L_2^2} + \frac{1^2}{L_3^2} + \frac{1h^2}{8m} \frac{1^2}{L_1^2} + \frac{1^2}{L_2^2} + \frac{2^2}{L_3^2}$$
$$= \frac{2h^2}{8m} \frac{3}{L_1^2} + \frac{1h^2}{8m} \frac{6}{L_1^2} = \frac{h^2}{8m} \frac{12}{L_1^2}$$

For L_3 $L_1 = L_2$, $V = L_1L_2L_3 = L_1^2L_3$, $L_3 = V/L_1^2$

 $E_{total}(L_1) = 2_1 + 2_2$

$$= \frac{2h^2}{8m} \frac{1^2}{L_1^2} + \frac{1^2}{L_2^2} + \frac{1^2}{L_3^2} + \frac{1h^2}{8m} \frac{1^2}{L_1^2} + \frac{1^2}{L_2^2} + \frac{2^2}{L_3^2}$$
$$= \frac{2h^2}{8m} \frac{2}{L_1^2} + \frac{1}{L_3^2} + \frac{1h^2}{8m} \frac{2}{L_1^2} + \frac{4}{L_3^2}$$
$$= \frac{2h^2}{8m} \frac{2}{L_1^2} + \frac{1}{L_3^2} + \frac{1}{L_1^2} + \frac{2}{L_3^2}$$
$$= \frac{2h^2}{8m} \frac{3}{L_1^2} + \frac{3}{L_3^2} = \frac{h^2}{8m} \frac{6}{L_1^2} + \frac{6}{L_3^2}$$

In comparing the total energy at constant volume of the undistorted box ($L_1 = L_2 = L_3$) versus the distorted box ($L_3 \quad L_1 = L_2$) it can be seen that:

$$\frac{h^2}{8m} \frac{6}{L_1^2} + \frac{6}{L_3^2} \qquad \frac{h^2}{8m} \frac{12}{L_1^2} \quad \text{as long as } L_3 \quad L_1.$$

c. In order to minimize the total energy expression, take the derivative of the

energy with respect to L_1 and set it equal to zero. $\frac{E_{total}}{L_1} = 0$

$$\frac{h^2}{L_1} \frac{h^2}{8m} \frac{6}{L_1^2} + \frac{6}{L_3^2} = 0$$

But since $V = L_1L_2L_3 = L_1^2L_3$, then $L_3 = V/L_1^2$. This substitution gives:

$$\frac{h^2}{L_1} \frac{h^2}{8m} \frac{6}{L_1^2} + \frac{6L_1^4}{V^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{h^2}{8m} \frac{(-2)6}{L_1^3} + \frac{(4)6L_1^3}{V^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{12}{-L_1^3} + \frac{24L_1^3}{V^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{24L_1^3}{V^2} = \frac{12}{L_1^3}$$

$$24L_1^6 = 12V^2$$

$$L_1^6 = \frac{1}{2}V^2 = \frac{1}{2}(L_1^2L_3)^2 = \frac{1}{2}L_1^4L_3^2$$

$$L_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}L_3^2$$

$$L_3 = \sqrt{2}L_1$$

d. Calculate energy upon distortion:

cube: $V = L_1^3$, $L_1 = L_2 = L_3 = (V)^{\frac{1}{3}}$

distorted: $V = L_1^2 L_3 = L_1^2 \sqrt{2} L_1 = \sqrt{2} L_1^3$

$$L_3 = \sqrt{2} \frac{V}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{3} \qquad L_1 = L_2 = \frac{V}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{3}$$

$$E = E_{total}(L_1 = L_2 = L_3) - E_{total}(L_3 - L_1 = L_2)$$

$$= \frac{h^2}{8m} \frac{12}{L_1^2} - \frac{h^2}{8m} \frac{6}{L_1^2} + \frac{6}{L_3^2}$$
$$= \frac{h^2}{8m} \frac{12}{V^{2/3}} - \frac{6(2)^{1/3}}{V^{2/3}} + \frac{6(2)^{1/3}}{2V^{2/3}}$$
$$= \frac{h^2}{8m} \frac{12 - 9(2)^{1/3}}{V^{2/3}}$$

Since V = 8Å³, V^{2/3} = 4Å² = 4 x 10⁻¹⁶ cm², and $\frac{h^2}{8m}$ = 6.01 x 10⁻²⁷ erg cm²:

E = 6.01 x 10⁻²⁷ erg cm²
$$\frac{12 - 9(2)^{1/3}}{4 x 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2}$$

$$E = 6.01 \times 10^{-27} \text{ erg cm}^2 \frac{0.66}{4 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2}$$
$$E = 0.99 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg}$$
$$E = 0.99 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg} \frac{1 \text{ eV}}{1.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg}}$$
$$E = 6.19 \text{ eV}$$

12.

a.
$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{2}{x^2} + \frac{2}{y^2}$$
 (Cartesian coordinates)

Finding $\frac{1}{x}$ and $\frac{1}{y}$ from the chain rule gives:

$$-\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{r} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{r} , \quad \mathbf{y} = \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{r} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{r} ,$$

Evaluation of the "coefficients" gives the following:

$$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{Cos} \quad , \quad \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}} = -\frac{\mathbf{Sin}}{\mathbf{r}} \quad ,$$
$$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{Sin} \quad , \text{ and} \quad \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\mathbf{Cos}}{\mathbf{r}} \quad ,$$

Upon substitution of these "coefficients":

$$\frac{1}{x} = \cos \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\sin r}{r} = -\frac{\sin r}{r} = -\frac{\sin r}{r}; \text{ at fixed } r.$$

$$\frac{1}{y} = \sin \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\cos r}{r} = -\frac{\cos r}{r}; \text{ at fixed } r.$$

$$\frac{2}{x^2} = -\frac{\sin}{r} - \frac{\sin}{r} - \frac{\sin}{r} - \frac{\sin}{r} - \frac{\sin}{r} - \frac{\sin}{r} - \frac{\sin}{r} - \frac{\sin}{r^2} - \frac{2}{2} + \frac{\sin}{r^2} - \frac{\cos}{r^2} - \frac{2}{2} + \frac{\sin}{r^2} - \frac{\cos}{r} - \frac{\cos}{r} - \frac{\cos}{r^2} - \frac{2}{2} - \frac{\cos}{r^2} - \frac{2}{2} - \frac{\cos}{r^2} - \frac{2}{2} + \frac{\sin}{r^2} - \frac{\cos}{r^2} - \frac{2}{2} - \frac{\cos}{r^2} - \frac{2}{2} - \frac{\cos}{r^2} - \frac{\sin}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sin}{r^2} - \frac{2}{2} - \frac{\cos}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{2} + \frac{\sin}{r^2} - \frac{2}{2} - \frac{\cos}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{2} + \frac{\sin}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{2} + \frac{\sin}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{$$

The Schrödinger equation for a particle on a ring then becomes:

$$H = E$$

$$\frac{-\hbar^2}{2I} \frac{2}{2} = E$$

$$\frac{2}{2} = \frac{-2IE}{\hbar^2}$$

The general solution to this equation is the now familiar expression:

() =
$$C_1 e^{-im} + C_2 e^{im}$$
, where $m = \frac{2IE}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{2}$

Application of the cyclic boundary condition, () = (+2), results in the quantization

of the energy expression: $E = \frac{m^2 \hbar^2}{2I}$ where $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$ It can be seen that the ±m values correspond to angular momentum of the same magnitude but opposite directions. Normalization of the wavefunction (over the region 0 to 2) corresponding to

+ or - m will result in a value of $\frac{1}{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for the normalization constant.

$$() = \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{im}$$

$$\frac{(\pm 4)^2 \hbar^2}{2I}$$
$$\frac{(\pm 3)^2 \hbar^2}{2I}$$
$$\frac{(\pm 2)^2 \hbar^2}{2I}$$
$$\frac{(\pm 2)^2 \hbar^2}{2I}$$
$$\frac{(\pm 1)^2 \hbar^2}{2I}$$
$$\frac{(0)^2 \hbar^2}{2I}$$
$$b. \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} = 6.06 \text{ x } 10^{-28} \text{ erg cm}^2$$
$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2mr^2} = \frac{6.06 \text{ x } 10^{-28} \text{ erg cm}^2}{(1.4 \text{ x } 10^{-8} \text{ cm})^2}$$
$$= 3.09 \text{ x } 10^{-12} \text{ erg}$$

 $\frac{\hbar^2}{2mr^2}$

 $E = (2^2 - 1^2) 3.09 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg} = 9.27 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg}$

but E = h = hc/ So = hc/ E

$$= \frac{(6.63 \text{ x } 10^{-27} \text{ erg sec})(3.00 \text{ x } 10^{10} \text{ cm sec}^{-1})}{9.27 \text{ x } 10^{-12} \text{ erg}}$$
$$= 2.14 \text{ x } 10^{-5} \text{ cm} = 2.14 \text{ x } 10^{3} \text{ Å}$$

Sources of error in this calculation include:

i. The attractive force of the carbon nuclei is not included in the Hamiltonian.

ii. The repulsive force of the other -electrons is not included in the Hamiltonian.

iii. Benzene is not a ring.

iv. Electrons move in three dimensions not one.

13.

$$(,0) = \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} \cos^2$$
.

This wavefunction needs to be expanded in terms of the eigenfunctions of the angular momentum operator, $-i\hbar$. This is most easily accomplished by an exponential expansion of the Cos function.

$$(,0) = \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} \frac{e^{i} + e^{-i}}{2} \frac{e^{i} + e^{-i}}{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} (e^{2i} + e^{-2i} + 2e^{(0)i})$$

The wavefunction is now written in terms of the eigenfunctions of the angular

momentum operator, $-i\hbar$, but they need to include their normalization constant, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

$$(,0) = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} \sqrt{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{2i} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-2i} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{(0)i}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{2i} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-2i} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{(0)i}$$

Once the wavefunction is written in this form (in terms of the normalized eigenfunctions of the angular momentum operator having $m\hbar$ as eigenvalues) the probabilities for observing angular momentums of $0\hbar$, $2\hbar$, and $-2\hbar$ can be easily identified as the squares of the coefficients of the corresponding eigenfunctions.

$$P_{2h} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}^{2} = \frac{1}{6}$$
$$P_{-2h} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}^{2} = \frac{1}{6}$$
$$P_{0h} = 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}^{2} = \frac{4}{6}$$

14.

•

a.
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 mv² = 100 eV $\frac{1.602 \text{ x } 10^{-12} \text{ erg}}{1 \text{ eV}}$
v² = $\frac{(2)1.602 \text{ x } 10^{-10} \text{ erg}}{9.109 \text{ x } 10^{-28} \text{g}}$

$$v = 0.593 \text{ x } 10^9 \text{ cm/sec}$$

The length of the N₂ molecule is $2\text{\AA} = 2 \text{ x } 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$.

$$v = \frac{d}{t}$$
$$t = \frac{d}{v} = \frac{2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}}{0.593 \times 10^9 \text{ cm/sec}} = 3.37 \times 10^{-17} \text{ sec}$$

b. The normalized ground state harmonic oscillator can be written as:

$$_{0} = -\frac{1/4}{e} e^{-x^{2}/2}$$
, where $=\frac{k\mu}{\hbar^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $x = r - r_{e}$

Calculating constants;

$$N_{2} = \frac{(2.294 \text{ x } 10^{6} \text{ g sec}^{-2})(1.1624 \text{ x } 10^{-23} \text{ g})}{(1.0546 \text{ x } 10^{-27} \text{ erg sec})^{2}} \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= 0.48966 \text{ x } 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2} = 489.66 \text{ Å}^{-2}$$
For N₂:

$$0(r) = 3.53333 \text{ Å}^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(244.83 \text{ Å}^{-2})(r-1.09769 \text{ Å})^{2}}$$

$$N_{2}^{+} = \frac{(2.009 \text{ x } 10^{6} \text{ g sec}^{-2})(1.1624 \text{ x } 10^{-23} \text{ g})}{(1.0546 \text{ x } 10^{-27} \text{ erg sec})^{2}} \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= 0.45823 \text{ x } 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2} = 458.23 \text{ Å}^{-2}$$
For N₂+:

$$0(r) = 3.47522 \text{ Å}^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(229.113 \text{ Å}^{-2})(r-1.11642 \text{ Å})^{2}}$$

$$c. P(v=0) = < v=0(N_{2}^{+}) \quad v=0(N_{2}) > 2$$

Let $P(v=0) = I^2$ where I = integral:

$$I = \frac{1}{2} (3.47522 \text{\AA}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{e}^{-(229.113 \text{\AA}^{-2})(\text{r}-1.11642 \text{\AA})^2}).$$

$$(3.53333\text{\AA}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\text{e}^{-(244.830\text{\AA}^{-2})(r-1.09769\text{\AA})^{2}})\text{dr}$$
Let $C_{1} = 3.47522\text{\AA}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $C_{2} = 3.53333\text{\AA}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$,
 $A_{1} = 229.113\text{\AA}^{-2}$, $A_{2} = 244.830\text{\AA}^{-2}$,
 $r_{1} = 1.11642\text{\AA}$, $r_{2} = 1.09769\text{\AA}$,
 $+$
 $I = C_{1}C_{2}$ $e^{-A_{1}(r-r_{1})^{2}}e^{-A_{2}(r-r_{2})^{2}} \text{dr}$.

Focusing on the exponential:

$$-A_1(r-r_1)^2 - A_2(r-r_2)^2 = -A_1(r^2 - 2r_1r + r_1^2) - A_2(r^2 - 2r_2r + r_2^2)$$
$$= -(A_1 + A_2)r^2 + (2A_1r_1 + 2A_2r_2)r - A_1r_1^2 - A_2r_2^2$$

Let
$$A = A_1 + A_2$$
,
 $B = 2A_1r_1 + 2A_2r_2$,
 $C = C_1C_2$, and
 $D = A_1r_1^2 + A_2r_2^2$.
 $+$
 $I = C e^{-Ar^2 + Br - D} dr$
 $+$
 $= C e^{-A(r-r_0)^2 + D'} dr$

where $-A(r-r_0)^2 + D' = -Ar^2 + Br - D$

$$-A(r^2 - 2rr_0 + r_0^2) + D' = -Ar^2 + Br - D$$

such that, $2Ar_0 = B$

$$-Ar_0^2 + D' = -D$$

and,

$$r_{0} = \frac{B}{2A}$$

$$D' = Ar_{0}^{2} - D = A\frac{B^{2}}{4A^{2}} - D = \frac{B^{2}}{4A} - D.$$

$$+$$

$$I = C e^{-A(r-r_{0})^{2} + D'} dr$$

$$+$$

$$= Ce^{D'} e^{-Ay^{2}} dy$$

$$= Ce^{D'} \sqrt{A}$$

Now back substituting all of these constants:

$$I = C_1 C_2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{A_1 + A_2}} \exp \frac{(2A_1r_1 + 2A_2r_2)^2}{4(A_1 + A_2)} - A_1r_1^2 - A_2r_2^2$$

$$I = (3.47522)(3.53333) \sqrt{\frac{229.113}{(229.113) + (244.830)}} + (244.830)(1.09769))^2}{4((229.113) + (244.830))} + (244.830)(1.09769)^2)$$

$$I = 0.959$$

$$P(v=0) = I^2 = 0.92$$
, so there is a 92% probability.

15.

a.
$$E = \frac{h^{2}k}{\mu} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$E = E_{+1} - E$$

$$= \frac{h^{2}k}{\mu} \frac{1}{2} + 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{h^{2}k}{\mu}$$

$$= \frac{(1.0546 \times 10^{-27} \text{ erg sec})^{2}(1.87 \times 10^{6} \text{ g sec}^{-2})}{6.857 \text{ g}/6.02 \times 10^{23}} \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= 4.27 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg}$$

$$E = \frac{hc}{E}$$

$$= \frac{hc}{E} = \frac{(6.626 \times 10^{-27} \text{ erg sec})(3.00 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm sec}^{-1})}{4.27 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg}}$$

$$= 4.66 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}$$

$$\frac{1}{e} = 2150 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$
b.
$$0 = -\frac{1/4}{e^{-x^{2}/2}}$$

$$<^{X} > = < v=0 \times v=0 >$$

$$= \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{e^{-x^{2}/2}} + \frac{1}{e^{$$
$$= \frac{-1}{2} \frac{1/2}{e} x^{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 0$$

$$< x^{2} > = < v=0 \quad x^{2} \quad v=0 >$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} v^{2} v^{$$

The smaller k and μ become, the larger the uncertainty in the internuclear distance becomes. Helium has a small μ and small attractive force between atoms. This results in a very large x. This implies that it is extremely difficult for He atoms to "vibrate" with small displacement as a solid, even as absolute zero is approached.

16.

a.
$$W = {}^{*}H dx$$

 $W = {}^{2b}\frac{1}{2} e^{-bx^{2}} - {}^{h^{2}}\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} + a|x| e^{-bx^{2}}dx$
 $\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} e^{-bx^{2}} = \frac{d}{dx} - 2bx e^{-bx^{2}}$
 $= (-2bx) - 2bx e^{-bx^{2}} + e^{-bx^{2}}(-2b)$
 $= 4b^{2}x^{2} e^{-bx^{2}} + -2b e^{-bx^{2}}$

Making this substitution results in the following three integrals:

$$W = \frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} 4b^2x^2 e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} e^$$

$$\frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} = e^{-bx^2} - 2b e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} e^{-bx^2} dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} e^{-bx^2} e^{$$

$$\frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-bx^{2}}a_{|x|e^{-bx^{2}}dx}}{e^{-2bx^{2}}dx + \frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{bh^{2}}{m} e^{-2bx^{2}}dx + \frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{bh^{2}}{m} e^{-2bx^{2}}dx + \frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{bh^{2}}{m} e^{-2bx^{2}}dx + \frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{bh^{2}}{m} 2 \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2b}{2b}}dx = \frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2b^{2}h^{2}}{m} 2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{2b^{2}h^{2}}{2b} \frac{2}{2b} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2b^{2}h^{2}}{m} 2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2b}}\sqrt{\frac{2b}{2b}} + \frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{bh^{2}}{m} 2 \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2b}{2b}}$$
$$\frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2b} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2b} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2b}$$
$$\frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2b} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2b} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2b}$$
$$\frac{2b}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2b} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2b} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2b}$$
$$W = \frac{bh^{2}}{2m} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2b} \frac{1}{2}$$
$$b. \text{ Optimize b by evaluating } \frac{dW}{db} = 0$$
$$\frac{dW}{db} = \frac{d}{db} \frac{bh^{2}}{2m} + a \frac{1}{2b} \frac{1}{2}$$
$$= \frac{h^{2}}{2m} - \frac{a}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} b^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$
$$Bo, \frac{a}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} b^{-\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{h^{2}}{2m} \text{ or, } b^{-\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{h^{2}}{2m} \frac{a}{a} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{h^{2}}{ma} \sqrt{2}$$

39

+

and, $b = \frac{ma}{\sqrt{2} \hbar^2}^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Substituting this value of b into the expression for W gives:

$$W = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \frac{ma}{\sqrt{2} \hbar^{2}} \frac{2}{3} + a \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{ma}{\sqrt{2} \hbar^{2}} \frac{1}{3}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \frac{ma}{\sqrt{2} \hbar^{2}} \frac{2}{3} + a \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{ma}{\sqrt{2} \hbar^{2}} \frac{1}{3}$$
$$= 2^{-\frac{4}{3}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} + 2^{-\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3}}{\pi^{-\frac{1}{3}} a^{-\frac{1}{3}} a^{-\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3}$$
$$= 2^{-\frac{4}{3}} \frac{1}{3} + 2^{-\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{m^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3}$$

17.

a.
$$\mathbf{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} kx^2$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{15}{16}} a^{-\frac{5}{2}} (a^2 - x^2) \qquad \text{for } -a < x < a$$
$$= 0 \qquad \qquad \text{for } |x| \quad a$$

 $^+$

***H** dx

$$= \sqrt{\frac{15}{16}a^{-\frac{5}{2}}(a^2 - x^2)} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}kx^2\sqrt{\frac{15}{16}a^{-\frac{5}{2}}(a^2 - x^2)} dx$$

+a
=
$$\frac{15}{16}$$
 a⁻⁵ (a² - x²) $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}kx^2$ (a² - x²) dx
-a

+a
=
$$\frac{15}{16}$$
 a⁻⁵ (a² - x²) $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}(a^2 - x^2) dx$
-a

+a
+
$$\frac{15}{16}$$
 a⁻⁵ (a² - x²) $\frac{1}{2}$ kx²(a² - x²) dx
-a

$$= \frac{15}{16} a^{-5} (a^2 - x^2) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (-2) dx$$

$$+ \frac{15}{32} a^{-5} (kx^2)(a^4 - 2a^2x^2 + x^4) dx$$

$$= \frac{15\hbar^2}{16m} a^{-5} (a^2 - x^2) dx + \frac{15}{32} a^{-5} a^{4k}x^2 - 2a^2kx^4 + kx^6 dx$$

$$= \frac{15\hbar^2}{16m} a^{-5} a^2x \frac{a}{-a} - \frac{1}{3}x^3 \frac{a}{-a}$$

$$+ \frac{15}{32} a^{-5} \frac{a^4k}{3}x^3 \frac{a}{-a} - \frac{2a^2k}{5}x^5 \frac{a}{-a} + \frac{k}{7}x^7 \frac{a}{-a}$$

$$= \frac{15\hbar^2}{16m} a^{-5} 2a^3 - \frac{2}{3}a^3 + \frac{15}{32} a^{-5} \frac{2a^7k}{3} - \frac{4a^7k}{5} + \frac{2k}{7}a^7$$

$$= \frac{15}{16} \quad a^{-5} \frac{4\hbar^2}{3m} a^3 + \frac{a^7k}{3} - \frac{2a^7k}{5} + \frac{k}{7} a^7$$

$$= \frac{15}{16} \quad a^{-5} \frac{4\hbar^2}{3m} a^3 + \frac{k}{3} - \frac{2k}{5} + \frac{k}{7} a^7$$

$$= \frac{15}{16} \quad a^{-5} \frac{4\hbar^2}{3m} a^3 + \frac{35k}{105} - \frac{42k}{105} + \frac{15k}{105} a^7$$

$$= \frac{15}{16} \quad a^{-5} \frac{4\hbar^2}{3m} a^3 + \frac{8k}{105} a^7 = \frac{5\hbar^2}{4ma^2} + \frac{ka^2}{14}$$

b. Substituting $a = b \frac{\hbar^2}{km}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ into the above expression for E we obtain:

$$E = \frac{5\hbar^2}{4b^2m} \frac{km}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{kb^2}{14} \frac{\hbar^2}{km} \frac{1}{2}$$
$$= \hbar k^{\frac{1}{2}} m^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{5}{4} b^{-2} + \frac{1}{14} b^2$$

c.
$$E = \frac{5\hbar^2}{4ma^2} + \frac{ka^2}{14}$$

$$\frac{dE}{da} = -\frac{10\hbar^2}{4ma^3} + \frac{2ka}{14} = -\frac{5\hbar^2}{2ma^3} + \frac{ka}{7} = 0$$

$$\frac{5\hbar^2}{2ma^3} = \frac{ka}{7} \text{ and } 35\hbar^2 = 2mka^4$$

So,
$$a^4 = \frac{35\hbar^2}{2mk}$$
, or $a = \frac{35\hbar^2}{2mk}\frac{1}{4}$

Therefore $best = \sqrt{\frac{15}{16}} \frac{35\hbar^2}{2mk} - \frac{5}{8} \frac{35\hbar^2}{2mk} - \frac{1}{2} - x^2$,

and
$$E_{\text{best}} = \frac{5\hbar^2}{4m} \frac{2mk}{35\hbar^2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{14} \frac{35\hbar^2}{2mk} \frac{1}{2} = \hbar \frac{1}{k^2} m^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{5}{14} \frac{1}{2}$$
.
d. $\frac{E_{\text{best}} - E_{\text{true}}}{E_{\text{true}}} = \frac{\hbar \frac{1}{k^2} m^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{5}{14} \frac{1}{2} - 0.5}{\frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{k^2} m^{-\frac{1}{2}} 0.5}$
 $= \frac{\frac{5}{14} \frac{1}{k^2} - 0.5}{0.5} = \frac{0.0976}{0.5} = 0.1952 = 19.52\%$

18.

a.
$$\mathbf{H}_{0} \quad \lim_{lm} = \frac{\mathbf{L}^{2}}{2m_{e}r_{0}^{2}} \quad \lim_{lm} = \frac{\mathbf{L}^{2}}{2m_{e}r_{0}^{2}} \mathbf{Y}_{l,m}(,)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2m_{e}r_{0}^{2}} \hbar^{2} \mathbf{I}(l+1) \mathbf{Y}_{l,m}(,)$$
$$\mathbf{E}_{lm}^{(0)} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m_{e}r_{0}^{2}} \mathbf{I}(l+1)$$
b.
$$\mathbf{V} = -\mathbf{e} \ \mathbf{z} = -\mathbf{e} \ r_{0}\mathbf{Cos}$$
$$\mathbf{E}_{00}^{(1)} = \langle \mathbf{Y}_{00} | \mathbf{V} | \mathbf{Y}_{00} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{Y}_{00} | \mathbf{e} \ r_{0}\mathbf{Cos} \ | \mathbf{Y}_{00} \rangle$$

$$= -e r_0 < Y_{00} | \cos |Y_{00} >$$

Using the given identity this becomes:

$$E_{00}^{(1)} = -e r_0 \langle Y_{00} | Y_{10} \rangle \sqrt{\frac{(0+0+1)(0-0+1)}{(2(0)+1)(2(0)+3)}} + -e r_0 \langle Y_{00} | Y_{-10} \rangle \sqrt{\frac{(0+0)(0-0)}{(2(0)+1)(2(0)-1)}}$$

The spherical harmonics are orthonormal, thus $\langle Y_{00}|Y_{10}\rangle = \langle Y_{00}|Y_{-10}\rangle = 0$, and

$$E_{00}^{(1)} = 0.$$

$$E_{00}^{(2)} = \frac{\langle Y_{lm} | V | Y_{00} \rangle^{2}}{\lim_{lm} 00 \frac{\langle 0 \rangle}{E_{00}^{(0)} - E_{lm}^{(0)}}}$$

 $< Y_{lm} | V | Y_{00} > = -e r_0 < Y_{lm} | Cos | Y_{00} >$

Using the given identity this becomes:

$$\langle Y_{lm}|V|Y_{00} \rangle = -e r_0 \langle Y_{lm}|Y_{10} \rangle \sqrt{\frac{(0+0+1)(0-0+1)}{(2(0)+1)(2(0)+3)}} + -e r_0 \langle Y_{lm}|Y_{-10} \rangle \sqrt{\frac{(0+0)(0-0)}{(2(0)+1)(2(0)-1)}}$$

e r_0

$$< Y_{lm} | V | Y_{00} > = -\frac{e^{-10}}{\sqrt{3}} < Y_{lm} | Y_{10} >$$

This indicates that the only term contributing to the sum in the expression for $E_{00}^{(2)}$ is when l=1, and m=), otherwise $\langle Y_{lm}|V|Y_{00}\rangle$ vanishes (from orthonormality). In quantum chemistry when using orthonormal functions it is typical to write the term $\langle Y_{lm}|Y_{10}\rangle$ as a delta function, for example $_{lm,10}$, which only has values of 1 or 0; ij = 1 when i = j and 0 when i j. This delta function when inserted into the sum then eliminates the sum by "picking out" the non-zero component. For example,

$$\langle Y_{lm}|V|Y_{00} \rangle = -\frac{e r_0}{\sqrt{3}} lm, 10$$
, so
 $E_{00}^{(2)} = \lim_{lm \to 00} \frac{e^2 2r_0^2}{3} \frac{lm, 10^2}{E_{00}^{(0)} - E_{lm}^{(0)}} = \frac{e^2 2r_0^2}{3} \frac{1}{E_{00}^{(0)} - E_{10}^{(0)}}$

$$E_{00}^{(0)} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e r_0^2} \ 0(0+1) = 0 \text{ and } E_{10}^{(0)} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e r_0^2} \ 1(1+1) = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e r_0^2}$$

Inserting these energy expressions above yields:

$$\begin{split} E_{00}^{(2)} &= -\frac{e^2 \ ^2r_0^2}{3} \frac{m_e r_0^2}{h^2} = -\frac{m_e e^2 \ ^2r_0^4}{3h^2} \\ \text{c.} \qquad E_{00} &= E_{00}^{(0)} + E_{00}^{(1)} + E_{00}^{(2)} + \dots \\ &= 0 + 0 - \frac{m_e e^2 \ ^2r_0^4}{3h^2} \\ &= -\frac{m_e e^2 \ ^2r_0^4}{3h^2} \\ &= -\frac{2E}{2} = -\frac{2}{2} \frac{m_e e^2 \ ^2r_0^4}{3h^2} \\ &= \frac{2m_e e^2 r_0^4}{3h^2} \\ \text{d.} \qquad &= \frac{2(9.1095 \times 10^{-28} \text{g})(4.80324 \times 10^{-10} \text{g}^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{cm}^{\frac{3}{2}} \text{s}^{-1})^2 r_0^4}{3(1.05459 \times 10^{-27} \text{ g cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1})^2} \\ &= r_0^4 \ 12598 \times 10^6 \text{cm}^{-1} = r_0^4 \ 1.2598 \text{\AA}^{-1} \\ &= H = 0.0987 \ \text{\AA}^3 \\ &= 57.57 \ \text{\AA}^3 \end{split}$$

19.



The above diagram indicates how the SALC-AOs are formed from the 1s,2s, and 2p N atomic orbitals. It can be seen that there are 3 $_{g}$, 3 $_{u}$, 1 $_{ux}$, 1 $_{uy}$, 1 $_{gx}$, and 1 $_{gy}$ SALC-AOs. The Hamiltonian matrices (Fock matrices) are given. Each of these can be diagonalized to give the following MO energies:

3 g; -15.52, -1.45, and -0.54 (hartrees)
3 u; -15.52, -0.72, and 1.13
1 ux; -0.58
1 uy; -0.58
1 gx; 0.28
1 gy; 0.28

It can be seen that the 3 $_{g}$ orbitals are bonding, the 3 $_{u}$ orbitals are antibonding, the 1 $_{ux}$ and 1 $_{uy}$ orbitals are bonding, and the 1 $_{gx}$ and 1 $_{gy}$ orbitals are antibonding.

20.

Using these approximate energies we can draw the following MO diagram:





one. The energy levels on each side (C and H_2) can be "superimposed" to generate the reactant side of the orbital correlation diagram and the center CH_2 levels can be used to form the product side. Ignoring the core levels this generates the following orbital correlation diagram.



Orbital-correlation diagram for the reaction $C + H_2$ ----> CH_2 (bent)

21.



a. The two F p orbitals (top and bottom) generate the following reducible representation:

This reducible representation reduces to $1A_1$ ' and $1A_2$ " irreducible representations.

Projectors may be used to find the symmetry-adapted AOs for these irreducible representations.

$$a_{1'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_{1} - f_{2})$$
$$a_{2''} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_{1} + f_{2})$$

b. The three trigonal F p orbitals generate the following reducible representation:

$$D_{3h} = 2C_3 3C_2 + 2S_3 3_v$$

$$p = 3 0 1 3 0 1$$

This reducible representation reduces to $1A_1$ ' and 1E' irreducible representations.

Projectors may be used to find the symmetry-adapted -AOs for these irreducible representations (but they are exactly analogous to the previous few problems):

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{1'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(f_3 + f_4 + f_5) \\ &e' = (1/6)^{-1/2} \left(2 \ f_3 - f_4 - f_5\right) \\ &e' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_4 - f_5) \ . \end{aligned}$$

c. The 3 P sp² orbitals generate

the following reducible representation:

This reducible representation reduces to $1A_1$ ' and 1E' irreducible representations. Again, projectors may be used to find the symmetry-adapted -AOs for these irreducible representations:

$$a_{1'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(f_{6} + f_{7} + f_{8})$$
$$e' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2f_{6} - f_{7} - f_{8})$$
$$e' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_{7} - f_{8}).$$

The leftover P p_z orbital generate the following irreducible representation:

$$D_{3h} \quad E \ 2C_3 \ 3C_2 \quad h \ 2S_3 \ 3 \ v$$
$$p_z \qquad 1 \ 1 \ -1 \ -1 \ -1 \ 1$$

This irreducible representation is A₂"

$$a_2'' = f_9.$$

Drawing an energy level diagram using these SALC-AOs would result in the following:

22.

a. For non-degenerate point groups, one can simply multiply the representations (since only one representation will be obtained):

$$a_1 \quad b_1 = b_1$$

Constructing a "box" in this case is unnecessary since it would only contain a single row. Two unpaired electrons will result in a singlet (S=0, M_S =0), and three triplets (S=1,

$$M_S=1$$
; S=1, $M_S=0$; S=1, $M_S=-1$). The states will be: ${}^{3}B_1(M_S=1)$, ${}^{3}B_1(M_S=0)$, ${}^{3}B_1(M_S=-1)$, and ${}^{1}B_1(M_S=0)$.

b. Remember that when coupling non-equivalent linear molecule angular momenta, one simple adds the individual L_z values and vector couples the electron spin. So, in this case

 $(1 \ u^{1}2 \ u^{1})$, we have M_L values of 1+1, 1-1, -1+1, and -1-1 (2, 0, 0, and -2). The term symbol is used to denote the spatially doubly degenerate level (M_L=±2) and there are two distinct spatially non-degenerate levels denoted by the term symbol (M_L=0) Again, two unpaired electrons will result in a singlet (S=0, M_S=0), and three triplets (S=1, M_S=1;S=1, M_S=0;S=1, M_S=-1). The states generated are then:

- ¹ (M_L=2); one state (M_S=0),
- ¹ (M_L =-2); one state (M_S =0),
- ³ (M_L=2); three states (M_S=1,0, and -1),
- ³ (M_L=-2); three states (M_S=1,0, and -1),
- ¹ (M_L=0); one state (M_S=0),
- ¹ ($M_L=0$); one state ($M_S=0$),
- ³ (M_L=0); three states (M_S=1,0, and -1), and
- ³ (M_L=0); three states (M_S=1,0, and -1).

c. Constructing the "box" for two equivalent electrons one obtains:



From this "box" one obtains six states:

- ¹ (M_L=2); one state (M_S=0),
- ¹ (M_L=-2); one state (M_S=0),
- ¹ (M_L=0); one state (M_S=0),
- ³ (M_L=0); three states (M_S=1,0, and -1).

d. It is not necessary to construct a "box" when coupling non-equivalent angular momenta since vector coupling results in a range from the sum of the two individual angular momenta to the absolute value of their difference. In this case, 3d¹4d¹, L=4, 3, 2, 1, 0, and S=1,0. The term symbols are: ³G, ¹G, ³F, ¹F, ³D, ¹D, ³P, ¹P, ³S, and ¹S. The L and S angular momenta can be vector coupled to produce further splitting into levels:

$$J = L + S \dots |L - S|.$$

Denoting J as a term symbol subscript one can identify all the levels and subsequent (2J + 1) states:

$${}^{3}G_{5} (11 \text{ states}),$$

 ${}^{3}G_{4} (9 \text{ states}),$
 ${}^{3}G_{3} (7 \text{ states}),$
 ${}^{1}G_{4} (9 \text{ states}),$
 ${}^{3}F_{4} (9 \text{ states}),$
 ${}^{3}F_{3} (7 \text{ states}),$
 ${}^{3}F_{2} (5 \text{ states}),$
 ${}^{1}F_{3} (7 \text{ states}),$
 ${}^{3}D_{3} (7 \text{ states}),$



e. Construction of a "box" for the two equivalent d electrons generates (note the "box" has been turned side ways for convenience):

MS	1	0
ML		
4		d ₂ d ₂
3	$ d_2 \ d_1 $	$ d_2 \ d_1 , d_2 \ d_1 $
2	$ d_2 \ d_0 $	$ d_2 \ d_0 , d_2 \ d_0 ,$
		$ d_1 d_1 $
1	$ d_1 \ d_0 , d_2 \ d_{-1} $	$ d_1 \ d_0 , \qquad d_1 \ d_0 ,$
		$ d_2 \ d_{-1} , d_2 \ d_{-1} $

The term symbols are: ¹G, ³F, ¹D, ³P, and ¹S. The L and S angular momenta can be vector coupled to produce further splitting into levels:

 ${}^{1}G_{4}$ (9 states), ${}^{3}F_{4}$ (9 states), ${}^{3}F_{3}$ (7 states), ${}^{3}F_{2}$ (5 states), ${}^{1}D_{2}$ (5 states), ${}^{3}P_{2}$ (5 states), ${}^{3}P_{1}$ (3 states), ${}^{3}P_{0}$ (1 state), and ${}^{1}S_{0}$ (1 state).

23.

a. Once the spatial symmetry has been determined by multiplication of the irreducible representations, the spin coupling gives the result:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|3a_1 \ 1b_1 \ | - |3a_1 \ 1b_1 \ |)$$

b. There are three states here :

1.)
$$|3a_1 \ 1b_1 |$$
,
2.) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|3a_1 \ 1b_1 | + |3a_1 \ 1b_1 |)$, and
3.) $|3a_1 \ 1b_1 |$
c. $|3a_1 \ 3a_1 |$

24.

a. All the Slater determinants have in common the |1s 1s 2s 2s | "core" and hence this component will not be written out explicitly for each case.

$$\label{eq:matrix} \begin{split} {}^{3}P(M_{L}=1,M_{S}=1) &= |p_{1} \ p_{0} \ | \\ &= |\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_{x}+ip_{y}) \ (p_{z}) \ | \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|p_{x} \ p_{z} \ |+i|p_{y} \ p_{z} \ |) \\ {}^{3}P(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=1) &= |p_{1} \ p_{-1} \ | \\ &= |\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_{x}+ip_{y}) \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_{x}-ip_{y}) \ | \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|p_{x} \ p_{x} \ |-i|p_{x} \ p_{y} \ |+i|p_{y} \ p_{x} \ |+|p_{y} \ p_{y} \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(0-i|p_{x} \ p_{y} \ |-i|p_{x} \ p_{y} \ |+0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-2i|p_{x} \ p_{y} \ |) \\ &= -i|p_{x} \ p_{y} \ | \end{split}$$

³P(M_L=-1,M_S=1) = |p_{-1} p_0 |
=
$$|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_x - ip_y) (p_z)|$$

= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|p_x p_z | - i|p_y p_z |)$

As you can see, the symmetries of each of these states cannot be labeled with a single irreducible representation of the C_{2v} point group. For example, $|p_x p_z|$ is $xz (B_1)$ and $|p_y p_z|$ is $yz (B_2)$ and hence the ${}^{3}P(M_L=1,M_S=1)$ state is a combination of B_1 and B_2 symmetries. But, the three ${}^{3}P(M_L,M_S=1)$ functions are degenerate for the C atom and any combination of these three functions would also be degenerate. Therefore, we can choose new combinations that can be labeled with "pure" C_{2v} point group labels.

$$^{3}P(xz,M_{S}=1) = |p_{x} p_{z}|$$

$$=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}({}^{3}P(M_{L}=1,M_{S}=1) + {}^{3}P(M_{L}=-1,M_{S}=1)) = {}^{3}B_{1}$$

 $^{3}P(yx,M_{S}=1) = |p_{y} p_{x}|$

$$=\frac{1}{i}({}^{3}P(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=1)) = {}^{3}A_{2}$$

 $^{3}P(yz,M_{S}=1) = |p_{y} p_{z}|$

$$=\frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}}({}^{3}P(M_{L}=1,M_{S}=1) - {}^{3}P(M_{L}=-1,M_{S}=1)) = {}^{3}B_{2}$$

Now, we can do likewise for the five degenerate ¹D states:

$$\label{eq:ML} \begin{array}{ll} ^{1}D(M_{L} = 2, M_{S} = 0) & = |p_{1} \ p_{1} | \\ \\ & = |\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_{x} + ip_{y}) \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_{x} + ip_{y}) | \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{2} (|p_{X} \ p_{X} \ | + i|p_{X} \ p_{Y} \ | + i|p_{Y} \ p_{X} \ | - |p_{Y} \ p_{Y} \ |) \\ &= |p_{-1} \ p_{-1} \ | \\ &= |\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (p_{X} - ip_{Y}) \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (p_{X} - ip_{Y}) \ | \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (|p_{X} \ p_{X} \ | - i|p_{X} \ p_{Y} \ | - i|p_{Y} \ p_{X} \ | - |p_{Y} \ p_{Y} \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (|p_{X} \ p_{X} \ | - i|p_{X} \ p_{Y} \ | - i|p_{Y} \ p_{X} \ | - |p_{Y} \ p_{Y} \ |) \\ ^{1}D(M_{L}=1,M_{S}=0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|p_{0} \ p_{1} \ | - |p_{0} \ p_{1} \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|p_{Z} \ p_{X} \ | + i|p_{Z} \ p_{Y} \ | - |p_{Z} \ p_{X} \ | - i|p_{Z} \ p_{Y} \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (|p_{Z} \ p_{X} \ | + i|p_{Z} \ p_{Y} \ | - |p_{Z} \ p_{X} \ | - i|p_{Z} \ p_{Y} \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|p_{0} \ p_{-1} \ | - |p_{0} \ p_{-1} \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|p_{Z} \ p_{X} \ | + i|p_{Z} \ p_{Y} \ | - |p_{Z} \ p_{X} \ | + i|p_{Z} \ p_{Y} \ |) \\ ^{1}D(M_{L}=-1,M_{S}=0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|p_{0} \ p_{-1} \ | - |p_{0} \ p_{-1} \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|p_{Z} \ p_{X} \ | - i|p_{Z} \ p_{Y} \ | - |(p_{Z}) \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (p_{X} \ - ip_{Y}) \ | \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|p_{Z} \ p_{X} \ | - i|p_{Z} \ p_{Y} \ | - |p_{Z} \ p_{X} \ | + i|p_{Z} \ p_{Y} \ |) \\ ^{1}D(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (2|p_{0} \ p_{0} \ | + |p_{1} \ p_{-1} \ | + |p_{-1} \ p_{1} \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (2|p_{Z} \ p_{Z} \ | + |\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (p_{X} \ + ip_{Y}) \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (p_{X} \ - ip_{Y}) \ | \\ &+ |\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (p_{X} \ - ip_{Y}) \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (p_{X} \ - ip_{Y}) \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (2|p_{Z} \ p_{Z} \ | + |p_{Z} \ p_{Y} \ |)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (|p_x \ p_x \ | - i|p_x \ p_y \ | + i|p_y \ p_x \ | + |p_y \ p_y \ |)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (|p_x \ p_x \ | + i|p_x \ p_y \ | - i|p_y \ p_x \ | + |p_y \ p_y \ |))$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (2|p_z \ p_z \ | + |p_x \ p_x \ | + |p_y \ p_y \ |))$$

Analogous to the three ${}^{3}P$ states, we can also choose combinations of the five degenerate ${}^{1}D$ states which can be labeled with "pure" C_{2v} point group labels:

 $^{1}D(xx\text{-}yy,\!M_{S}\!\!=\!\!0)=|p_{x} \ p_{x} \ | \text{-} |p_{y} \ p_{y} \ |$

$$= (^{1}D(M_{L}=2,M_{S}=0) + ^{1}D(M_{L}=-2,M_{S}=0)) = ^{1}A_{1}$$

 ${}^{1}D(yx,\!M_{S}\!\!=\!\!0) = |p_{x} \ p_{y} \ | + |p_{y} \ p_{x} \ |$

$$=\frac{1}{i}({}^{1}D(M_{L}=2,M_{S}=0) - {}^{1}D(M_{L}=-2,M_{S}=0)) = {}^{1}A_{2}$$

 $^1D(zx,\!M_S\!\!=\!\!0) = |p_z \ p_x \ |$ - $|p_z \ p_x \ |$

$$= ({}^{1}D(M_{L}=1,M_{S}=0) + {}^{1}D(M_{L}=-1,M_{S}=0)) = {}^{1}B_{1}$$

 $^1D(zy,\!M_S\!\!=\!\!0) = |p_z \ p_y \ |$ - $|p_z \ p_y \ |$

$$=\frac{1}{i}({}^{1}D(M_{L}=1,M_{S}=0) - {}^{1}D(M_{L}=-1,M_{S}=0)) = {}^{1}B_{2}$$

 ${}^{1}D(2zz+xx+yy,M_{S}=0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2|p_{z} \ p_{z} \ |+|p_{x} \ p_{x} \ |+|p_{y} \ p_{y} \ |) \)$

$$= {}^{1}D(M_{L}=0, M_{S}=0) = {}^{1}A_{1}$$

The only state left is the ¹S:

¹S(M_L=0,M_S=0) =
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|p_0 \ p_0 | - |p_1 \ p_{-1} | - |p_{-1} \ p_1 |)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|p_{z} \ p_{z} \ | - |\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_{x} + ip_{y}) \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_{x} - ip_{y}) |$$

$$- |\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_{x} - ip_{y}) \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_{x} + ip_{y}) |)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|p_{z} \ p_{z} |$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} (|p_{x} \ p_{x} | - i|p_{x} \ p_{y} | + i|p_{y} \ p_{x} | + |p_{y} \ p_{y} |)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} (|p_{x} \ p_{x} | + i|p_{x} \ p_{y} | - i|p_{y} \ p_{x} | + |p_{y} \ p_{y} |)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|p_{z} \ p_{z} | - |p_{x} \ p_{x} | - |p_{y} \ p_{y} |)$$

Each of the components of this state are A_1 and hence this state has A_1 symmetry.

b. Forming symmetry-adapted AOs from the C and H atomic orbitals would generate the following:



The bonding, nonbonding, and antibonding orbitals of CH₂ can be illustrated in the following manner:



c.



Orbital-correlation diagram for the reaction $C + H_2$ ----> CH_2 (bent)

d. - e. It is necessary to determine how the wavefunctions found in part a. correlate with states of the CH₂ molecule:

${}^{3}P(xz,M_{S}=1); {}^{3}B_{1} = {}_{g}{}^{2}s^{2}$	$^{2}p_{x}p_{z}$ $^{2}n^{2}p$ *
$^{3}P(yx,M_{S}=1); ^{3}A_{2} = g^{2}s^{2}$	$2p_x p_y$ $2n^2 p_z$
$^{3}P(yz,M_{S}=1); ^{3}B_{2} = g^{2}s^{2}$	$^{2}p_{y}p_{z}$ $^{2}n^{2}$ *
¹ D(xx-yy,M _S =0); ¹ A ₁	$2n^2p^2 - 2n^2^2$
¹ D(yx,M _S =0); ¹ A ₂	² n ² p
¹ D(zx,M _S =0); ¹ B ₁	$^{2}n^{2}$ *p
¹ D(zy,M _S =0); ¹ B ₂	2 _n 2 *
$^{1}D(2zz+xx+yy,M_{s}=0);$ ¹ A	$2 \ 2n^2 \ *^2 + \ 2n^2p \ ^2 + \ 2n^2 \ ^2$

Note, the C + H₂ state to which the lowest ${}^{1}A_{1}({}^{2}n^{2}{}^{2})$ CH₂ state decomposes would be ${}_{g}{}^{2}s^{2}p_{y}{}^{2}$. This state (${}_{g}{}^{2}s^{2}p_{y}{}^{2}$) cannot be obtained by a simple combination of the ${}^{1}D$ states. In order to obtain pure ${}_{g}{}^{2}s^{2}p_{y}{}^{2}$ it is necessary to combine ${}^{1}S$ with ${}^{1}D$. For example,

$${}_{g}{}^{2}s^{2}p_{y}{}^{2} = \frac{1}{6}(\sqrt{6} \ {}^{1}D(0,0) - 2\sqrt{3} \ {}^{1}S(0,0)) - \frac{1}{2}(\ {}^{1}D(2,0) + \ {}^{1}D(-2,0)) \ . \label{eq:g2}$$

This indicates that a configuration correlation diagram must be drawn with a barrier near the ¹D asymptote to represent the fact that ¹A₁ CH₂ correlates with a mixture of ¹D and ¹S carbon plus hydrogen. The C + H₂ state to which the lowest ³B₁ ($^{2}n^{2}p$) CH₂ state decomposes would be $g^{2}sp_{y}^{2}p_{x}$.



f. If you follow the ${}^{3}B_{1}$ component of the C(${}^{3}P$) + H₂ (since it leads to the ground-state products) to ${}^{3}B_{1}$ CH₂ you must go over an approximately 20 Kcal/mole barrier. Of course this path produces ${}^{3}B_{1}$ CH₂ product. Distortions away from C_{2v} symmetry, for example to C_s symmetry, would make the a₁ and b₂ orbitals identical in

symmetry (a'). The b₁ orbitals would maintain their different symmetry going to a" symmetry. Thus ${}^{3}B_{1}$ and ${}^{3}A_{2}$ (both ${}^{3}A$ " in C_s symmetry and odd under reflection through the molecular plane) can mix. The system could thus follow the ${}^{3}A_{2}$ component of the C(${}^{3}P$) + H₂ surface to the place (marked with a circle on the CCD) where it crosses the ${}^{3}B_{1}$ surface upon which it then moves and continues to products. As a result, the barrier would be lowered.

You can estimate when the barrier occurs (late or early) using thermodynamic information for the reaction (i.e. slopes and asymptotic energies). For example, an early barrier would be obtained for a reaction with the characteristics:



Progress of Reaction

and a late barrier would be obtained for a reaction with the characteristics:



Progress of Reaction

This relation between reaction endothermicity or exothermicity and the character of the transition state is known as the Hammond postulate. Note that the $C(^{3}P_{1}) + H_{2} -> CH_{2}$ reaction of interest here has an early barrier.

g. The reaction $C(^{1}D) + H_{2} - --> CH_{2} (^{1}A_{1})$ should have no symmetry barrier (this can be recognized by following the $^{1}A_{1} (C(^{1}D) + H_{2})$ reactants down to the $^{1}A_{1} (CH_{2})$ products).

25.

This problem in many respects is analogous to problem 24.

The ${}^{3}B_{1}$ surface certainly requires a two configuration CI wavefunction; the 2 ${}^{2}np_{x}$ (${}^{2}p_{y}{}^{2}sp_{x}$) and the ${}^{2}n^{2}p_{x}$ * (${}^{2}s^{2}p_{x}p_{z}$). The ${}^{1}A_{1}$ surface could use the 2 ${}^{2}n^{2}$ (${}^{2}s^{2}p_{y}{}^{2}$) only but once again there is no combination of ${}^{1}D$ determinants which gives purely this configuration (${}^{2}s^{2}p_{y}{}^{2}$). Thus mixing of both ${}^{1}D$ and ${}^{1}S$ determinants are necessary to yield the required ${}^{2}s^{2}p_{y}{}^{2}$ configuration. Hence even the ${}^{1}A_{1}$ surface would require a multiconfigurational wavefunction for adequate description.



Orbital-correlation diagram for the reaction $C_2H_2 + C \xrightarrow{} C_3H_2$



Configuration correlation diagram for the reaction $C_2H_2 + C \longrightarrow C_3H_2$.



26.

a. CCl₄ is tetrahedral and therefore is a spherical top. CHCl₃ has C_{3v} symmetry and therefore is a symmetric top. CH₂Cl₂ has C_{2v} symmetry and therefore is an asymmetric top.

b. CCl₄ has such high symmetry that it will not exhibit pure rotational spectra because it has no permanent dipole moment. CHCl₃ and CH₂Cl₂ will both exhibit pure rotation spectra.

27.

NH₃ is a symmetric top (oblate). Use the given energy expression,

$$E = (A - B) K^2 + B J(J + 1),$$

A = 6.20 cm⁻¹, B = 9.44 cm⁻¹, selection rules $J = \pm 1$, and the fact that μ_0 lies along the

figure axis such that K = 0, to give:

E = 2B (J + 1) = 2B, 4B, and 6B (J = 0, 1, and 2).

So, lines are at 18.88 cm⁻¹, 37.76 cm⁻¹, and 56.64 cm⁻¹.

28.

To convert between cm^{-1} and energy, multiply by $hc = (6.62618 \times 10^{-34} J)^{-34} J$

 $\sec(2.997925 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm sec}^{-1}) = 1.9865 \times 10^{23} \text{ J cm}.$

Let all quantities in cm⁻¹ be designated with a bar,

e.g. $B_e = 1.78 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

a.
$$hcB_e = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu R_e^2}$$

$$R_e = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2\mu hcB_e}} ,$$

$$\mu = \frac{m_B m_O}{m_B + m_O} = \frac{(11)(16)}{(11 + 16)} \times 1.66056 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$$
$$= 1.0824 \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg}.$$

$$hcB_e = hc(1.78 \text{ cm}^{-1}) = 3.5359 \text{x} 10^{-23} \text{ J}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{e} &= \frac{1.05459 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J sec}}{\sqrt{(2)1.0824 \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg}.3.5359 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J}}} \\ R_{e} &= 1.205 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m} = 1.205 \text{ Å} \\ D_{e} &= \frac{4B_{e}^{3}}{\hbar_{e}^{2}}, D_{e} &= \frac{4B_{e}^{3}}{e^{2}} = \frac{(4)(1.78 \text{ cm}^{-1})^{3}}{(1885 \text{ cm}^{-1})^{2}} = 6.35 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-1} \\ e^{x}_{e} &= \frac{\hbar_{e}^{2}}{4D_{e}^{0}}, e^{x}_{e} = \frac{e^{2}}{4D_{e}^{0}} = \frac{(1885 \text{ cm}^{-1})^{2}}{(4)(66782.2 \text{ cm}^{-1})} = 13.30 \text{ cm}^{-1}. \\ D_{0}^{0} &= D_{e}^{0} - \frac{\hbar_{e}}{2} + \frac{\hbar_{e}^{2} \text{ kg}}{4}, D_{0}^{0} = D_{e}^{0} - \frac{e}{2} + \frac{e^{x}e}{4} \\ &= 66782.2 - \frac{1885}{2} + \frac{13.3}{4} \\ &= 65843.0 \text{ cm}^{-1} = 8.16 \text{ eV}. \end{aligned}$$

$$e = \frac{-6B_e^2}{h_e} + \frac{6\sqrt{B_e^3h_ex_e}}{h_e}$$

$$e = \frac{-6B_e^2}{e} + \frac{6\sqrt{B_e^3}e^x_e}{e}$$

$$e = \frac{(-6)(1.78)^2}{(1885)} + \frac{6\sqrt{(1.78)^3(13.3)}}{(1885)} = 0.0175 \text{ cm}^{-1}.$$

$$B_0 = B_e - e(1/2), B_0 = B_e - e(1/2) = 1.78 - 0.0175/2$$

$$= 1.77 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

$$B_1 = B_e - e(3/2), B_1 = B_e - e(3/2) = 1.78 - 0.0175(1.5)$$

$$= 1.75 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

b. The molecule has a dipole moment and so it should have a pure rotational spectrum. In addition, the dipole moment should change with R and so it should have a vibration-rotation spectrum.

The first three lines correspond to
$$J = 1$$
 0, $J = 2$ 1, $J = 3$ 2
 $E = \hbar_{e}(v + 1/2) - \hbar_{e}x_{e}(v + 1/2)^{2} + B_{v}J(J + 1) - D_{e}J^{2}(J + 1)^{2}$
 $E = \hbar_{e} - 2\hbar_{e}x_{e} - B_{0}J(J + 1) + B_{1}J(J - 1) - 4D_{e}J^{3}$
 $E = e - 2_{e}x_{e} - B_{0}J(J + 1) + B_{1}J(J - 1) - 4D_{e}J^{3}$
 $E = 1885 - 2(13.3) - 1.77J(J + 1) + 1.75J(J - 1) - 4(6.35x10^{-6})J^{3}$
 $= 1858.4 - 1.77J(J + 1) + 1.75J(J - 1) - 2.54x10^{-5}J^{3}$
 $E(J = 1) = 1854.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$
 $E(J = 2) = 1851.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$

$$E(J = 3) = 1847.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

29.

The C₂H₂Cl₂ molecule has a $_{\rm h}$ plane of symmetry (plane of molecule), a C₂ axis (to the molecular plane), and inversion symmetry, this results in C_{2h} symmetry. Using C_{2h} symmetry, the modes can be labeled as follows: 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 are a_g, 6 and 7 are a_u, 8 is b_g, and 9, 10, 11, and 12 are b_u.



Molecule I

Molecule II

 $R_{CH} = 1.121 \text{ Å}$ $R_{CH} = 1.076 \text{ Å}$ $H_{CH} = 104^{\circ}$ $H_{CH} = 136^{\circ}$

 $y_{\rm H} = R \, \text{Sin} \, (\ /2) = \pm 0.8834$ $y_{\rm H} = \pm 0.9976$

 $z_{\rm H} = R \ {\rm Cos} \ (\ /2) = -0.6902$ $z_{\rm H} = -0.4031$

Center of Mass(COM):

clearly, X = Y = 0, $Z = \frac{12(0) - 2R\cos(\frac{1}{2})}{14} = -0.0986 \qquad Z = -0.0576$

a.
$$I_{xx} = m_j(y_j^2 + z_j^2) - M(Y^2 + Z^2)$$

 $J_{xy} = -m_j x_j y_j - MXY$
 $I_{xx} = 2(1.121)^2 - 14(-0.0986)^2$
 $I_{xx} = 2(1.076)^2 - 14(-0.0576)^2$
 $= 2.377$
 $= 2.269$
$$I_{yy} = 2(0.6902)^2 - 14(-0.0986)^2 \qquad I_{yy} = 2(0.4031)^2 - 14(-0.0576)^2$$

= 0.8167 = 0.2786
$$I_{zz} = 2(0.8834)^2 \qquad I_{zz} = 2(0.9976)^2$$

= 1.561 = 1.990

 $I_{xz} = I_{yz} = I_{xy} = 0$

b. Since the moment of inertia tensor is already diagonal, the principal moments of inertia have already been determined to be

 $(I_a < I_b < I_c)$: $I_{yy} < I_{zz} < I_{xx}$ $I_{yy} < I_{zz} < I_{xx}$ 0.8167 < 1.561 < 2.377 0.2786 < 1.990 < 2.269

Using the formula: A = $\frac{h}{8 \ ^2 cI_a} = \frac{6.626 \times 10^{-27}}{8 \ ^2 (3 \times 10^{10})I_a} \times \frac{6.02 \times 10^{23}}{(1 \times 10^{-8})^2}$

$$A = \frac{16.84}{I_a} \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

similarly, $B = \frac{16.84}{I_b}$ cm⁻¹, and $C = \frac{16.84}{I_c}$ cm⁻¹.

So,

y

Molecule I Molecule II A = 20.62 A = 60.45 y

- B = 10.79 B = 8.46Z Ζ
- C = 7.08 C = 7.42 Х Х

c. Averaging B + C:

B = (B + C)/2 = 8.94B = (B + C)/2 = 7.94

A - B = 11.68A - B = 52.51 Using the prolate top formula:

$$E = (A - B) K^2 + B J(J + 1),$$

Molecule I

Molecule II

 $E = 11.68K^2 + 8.94J(J+1) E = 52.51K^2 + 7.94J(J+1)$

Levels: J = 0, 1, 2, ... and K = 0, 1, ... J

For a given level defined by J and K, there are M_J degeneracies given by: (2J + 1) x

1 for K = 0 2 for K 0 d.

.

Molecule I

Molecule II



e. Assume molecule I is CH_2 and molecule II is CH_2 . Then,

 $E = E_{J_i}(CH_2) - E_{J_i}(CH_2)$, where:

 $E(CH_2) = 52.51K^2 + 7.94J(J + 1)$, and $E(CH_2) = 11.68K^2 + 8.94J(J + 1)$

For R-branches: $J_j = J_i + 1$, K = 0:

$$E_R = E_{J_j}(CH_2) - E_{J_i}(CH_2)$$

$$= 7.94(J_i + 1)(J_i + 1 + 1) - 8.94J_i(J_i + 1)$$
$$= (J_i + 1)\{7.94(J_i + 1 + 1) - 8.94J_i\}$$
$$= (J_i + 1)\{(7.94 - 8.94)J_i + 2(7.94)\}$$
$$= (J_i + 1)\{-J_i + 15.88\}$$

For P-branches: $J_j = J_i - 1$, K = 0:

$$\begin{split} E_P &= E_{J_j}(CH_2) - E_{J_i}(CH_2) \\ &= 7.94(J_i - 1)(J_i - 1 + 1) - 8.94J_i(J_i + 1) \\ &= J_i\{7.94(J_i - 1) - 8.94(J_i + 1)\} \\ &= J_i\{(7.94 - 8.94)J_i - 7.94 - 8.94\} \\ &= J_i\{-J_i - 16.88\} \end{split}$$

This indicates that the R branch lines occur at energies which grow closer and closer together as J increases (since the 15.88 - J_i term will cancel). The P branch lines occur at energies which lie more and more negative (i.e. to the left of the origin). So, you can predict that if molecule I is CH_2^- and molecule II is CH_2 then the R-branch has a band head and the P-branch does not. This is observed, therefore our assumption was correct: molecule I is CH_2^- and molecule II is CH_2 .

f. The band head occurs when
$$\frac{d(E_R)}{dJ} = 0$$
.

$$\frac{d(E_R)}{dJ} = \frac{d}{dJ} \left[(J_i + 1) \{ -J_i + 15.88 \} \right] = 0$$
$$= \frac{d}{dJ} (-J_i^2 - J_i + 15.88J_i + 15.88) = 0$$
$$= -2J_i + 14.88 = 0$$

$$J_i = 7.44$$
, so $J = 7$ or 8.

At J = 7.44:

 $E_R = (J+1)\{-J+15.88\}$ $E_R = (7.44+1)\{-7.44+15.88\} = (8.44)(8.44) = 71.2 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ above}$ the origin.

31.

a.

D _{6h}	Ε	2C ₆	2C ₃	C_2	3C ₂	3C ₂	i	2S ₃	2S ₆	h	3 d	3 v		
					ı	"								
A _{1g}	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		$x^{2}+y^{2},z^{2}$
A _{2g}	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	Rz	
B _{1g}	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1		
B _{2g}	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1		
E _{1g}	2	1	-1	-2	0	0	2	1	-1	-2	0	0	R_x, R_y	(xz,yz)
E _{2g}	2	-1	-1	2	0	0	2	-1	-1	2	0	0		(x ² -y ² ,xy
A _{1u}	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1		

A _{2u}	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	Z
B _{1u}	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	
B _{2u}	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1	
E _{1u}	2	1	-1	-2	0	0	-2	-1	1	2	0	0	(x,y)
E _{2u}	2	-1	-1	2	0	0	-2	1	1	-2	0	0	
C-H	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	2	0	

b. The number of irreducible representations may be found by using the following formula:

$$n_{irrep} = \frac{1}{g} R_{red}(R)_{irrep}(R)$$
,

where g = the order of the point group (24 for D_{6h}).

$$\begin{split} n_{A_{1g}} &= \frac{1}{24} \underset{R}{}_{C-H}(R) \cdot A_{1g}(R) \\ &= \frac{1}{24} \left\{ (1)(6)(1) + (2)(0)(1) + (2)(0)(1) + (1)(0)(1) \\ &+ (3)(0)(1) + (3)(2)(1) + (1)(0)(1) + (2)(0)(1) \\ &+ (2)(0)(1) + (1)(6)(1) + (3)(2)(1) + (3)(0)(1) \right\} \\ &= 1 \\ n_{A_{2g}} &= \frac{1}{24} \left\{ (1)(6)(1) + (2)(0)(1) + (2)(0)(1) + (1)(0)(1) \\ &+ (3)(0)(-1) + (3)(2)(-1) + (1)(0)(1) + (2)(0)(1) \\ &+ (2)(0)(1) + (1)(6)(1) + (3)(2)(-1) + (3)(0)(-1) \right\} \end{split}$$

$$= 0$$

$$n_{B_{1g}} = \frac{1}{24} \{(1)(6)(1)+(2)(0)(-1)+(2)(0)(1)+(1)(0)(-1) +(3)(0)(1)+(3)(2)(-1)+(1)(0)(1)+(2)(0)(-1) +(2)(0)(1)+(1)(6)(-1)+(3)(2)(1)+(3)(0)(-1)) +(2)(0)(1)+(1)(0)(-1) +(3)(0)(-1)+(3)(2)(1)+(1)(0)(1)+(2)(0)(-1) +(2)(0)(1)+(1)(6)(-1)+(3)(2)(-1)+(3)(0)(1)) = 0$$

$$n_{E_{1g}} = \frac{1}{24} \{(1)(6)(2)+(2)(0)(1)+(2)(0)(-1)+(1)(0)(2) +(2)(0)(1) +(2)(0)(-1)+(1)(6)(-2)+(3)(2)(0)+(3)(2)(0)+(3)(0)(0)) = 0$$

$$n_{E_{2g}} = \frac{1}{24} \{(1)(6)(2)+(2)(0)(-1)+(2)(0)(-1)+(1)(0)(2) +(2)(0)(-1) +(2)(0)(-1)+(1)(6)(2)+(3)(2)(0)+(3)(0)(0)) = 1$$

$$n_{A_{1u}} = \frac{1}{24} \{(1)(6)(1)+(2)(0)(1)+(2)(0)(1)+(1)(0)(1) +(2)(0)(-1) +(3)(0)(-1)) +(2)(0)(-1)+(1)(0)(-1)) +(2)(0)(-1)+(1)(0)(-1)+(3)(0)(-1)) +(2)(0)(-1)) +(2)(0)(-1)) +(2)(0)(-1)+(3)(0)(-1)) +(2)(0)(-1))$$

$$\begin{split} n_{A_{2u}} &= \frac{1}{24} \left\{ (1)(6)(1) + (2)(0)(1) + (2)(0)(1) + (1)(0)(1) \\ &+ (3)(0)(-1) + (3)(2)(-1) + (1)(0)(-1) + (2)(0)(1) \right\} \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_{B_{1u}} &= \frac{1}{24} \left\{ (1)(6)(1) + (2)(0)(-1) + (2)(0)(1) + (1)(0)(-1) \\ &+ (3)(0)(1) + (3)(2)(-1) + (1)(0)(-1) + (2)(0)(1) \right\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_{B_{2u}} &= \frac{1}{24} \left\{ (1)(6)(1) + (2)(0)(-1) + (2)(0)(1) + (1)(0)(-1) \\ &+ (3)(0)(-1) + (3)(2)(1) + (1)(0)(-1) + (2)(0)(1) \\ &+ (2)(0)(-1) + (1)(6)(1) + (3)(2)(1) + (3)(0)(-1)) \right\} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_{E_{1u}} &= \frac{1}{24} \left\{ (1)(6)(2) + (2)(0)(1) + (2)(0)(-1) + (1)(0)(-2) \\ &+ (3)(0)(0) + (3)(2)(0) + (1)(0)(-2) + (2)(0)(-1) \\ &+ (2)(0)(1) + (1)(6)(2) + (3)(2)(0) + (3)(0)(0) \right) \right\} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_{E_{2u}} &= \frac{1}{24} \left\{ (1)(6)(2) + (2)(0)(-1) + (2)(0)(-1) + (1)(0)(2) \\ &+ (3)(0)(0) + (3)(2)(0) + (1)(0)(-2) + (2)(0)(1) \\ &+ (3)(0)(0) + (3)(2)(0) + (1)(0)(-2) + (2)(0)(1) \\ &+ (2)(0)(1) + (1)(6)(-2) + (3)(2)(0) + (3)(0)(0) \right\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We see that $_{C-H} = A_{1g} \quad E_{2g} \quad B_{2u} \quad E_{1u}$

c. x and y $\quad E_{1u}$, z $\quad A_{2u}$, so, the ground state A_{1g} level can be excited to the degenerate E_{1u} level by coupling through the x or y transition dipoles. Therefore E_{1u} is infrared active and polarized.

d.
$$(x^2 + y^2, z^2)$$
 A_{1g}, (xz, yz) E_{1g}, $(x^2 - y^2, xy)$ E_{2g}, so, the ground state
A_{1g} level can be excited to the degenerate E_{2g} level by coupling through the $x^2 - y^2$ or xy

transitions or be excited to the degenerate A_{1g} level by coupling through the $xz\ or\ yz$ transitions. Therefore $A_{1g} \mbox{ and } E_{2g}$ are Raman active..

e. The B_{2u} mode is not IR or Raman active.

32.

_

a. Evaluate the z-component of μ_{fi} :

$$\mu_{fi} = \langle 2p_{z} | e r \cos | 1s \rangle, \text{ where } _{1s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \frac{Z}{a_{0}}^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{\frac{-Zr}{a_{0}}}, \text{ and } _{2p_{z}} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2^{-1}}} \frac{Z}{a_{0}}^{\frac{5}{2}} r \cos e^{\frac{-Zr}{2a_{0}}}.$$

$$\mu_{fi} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2^{-1}}} \frac{Z}{a_{0}}^{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \frac{Z}{a_{0}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle r \cos e^{\frac{-Zr}{2a_{0}}} | e r \cos | e^{\frac{-Zr}{a_{0}}} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_{0}}^{\frac{4}{2}} \langle r \cos | e^{\frac{-Zr}{2a_{0}}} | e r \cos | e^{\frac{-Zr}{a_{0}}} \rangle$$

b. Examine the symmetry of the integrands for ${<}2p_z|$ e x $|1s{>}$ and ${<}2p_z|$ e y $|1s{>}.$

Consider reflection in the xy plane:

Function	Symmetry
2pz	-1
Х	+1

1s	+1
У	+1

Under this operation, the integrand of $\langle 2p_z | e x | 1s \rangle$ is (-1)(1)(1) = -1 (it is

antisymmetric) and hence $\langle 2p_z | e x | 1s \rangle = 0$.

Similarly, under this operation the integrand of ${<}2p_z|$ e y $|1s{>}$ is

(-1)(1)(1) = -1 (it is also antisymmetric) and hence $\langle 2p_z | e y | 1s \rangle = 0$.

c.
$$R = \frac{3\hbar^4 c^3}{4(E_i - E_f)^3 |\mu_{fi}|^2} ,$$
$$E_i = E_{2p_z} = -\frac{1}{4} Z^2 \frac{e^2}{2a_0}$$
$$E_f = E_{1s} = -Z^2 \frac{e^2}{2a_0}$$
$$E_i - E_f = \frac{3}{8} \frac{e^2}{a_0} Z^2$$

Making the substitutions for E_i - E_f and $|\mu_{fi}|$ in the expression for R we obtain:

$${}_{R} = \frac{3\hbar^{4}c^{3}}{4\frac{3}{8}\frac{e^{2}}{a_{0}}Z^{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{ea_{0}}{Z}\frac{2^{8}}{\sqrt{2}3^{5}}\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}3^{5}},$$
$$= \frac{3\hbar^{4}c^{3}}{4\frac{3^{3}}{8^{3}}\frac{e^{6}}{a_{0}^{3}}Z^{6}\frac{e^{2}a_{0}^{2}}{Z^{2}}\frac{2^{16}}{(2)3^{10}},$$
$$= \frac{\hbar^{4}c^{3}3^{8}a_{0}}{e^{8}Z^{4}2^{8}},$$

Inserting $e^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e a_0}$ we obtain:

$$R = \frac{\hbar^4 c^3 \, 3^8 \, a_0 \, m_e^4 a_0^4}{\hbar^8 \, Z^4 \, 2^8} = \frac{3^8}{2^8} \frac{c^3 \, a_0^5 \, m_e^4}{\hbar^4 \, Z^4}$$
$$= 25.6289 \, \frac{c^3 \, a_0^5 \, m_e^4}{\hbar^4 \, Z^4}$$
$$= 25,6289 \, \frac{1}{Z^4} \, x$$

$$\frac{(2.998 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm sec}^{-1})^3 (0.529177 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm})^5 (9.109 \times 10^{-28} \text{ g})^4}{(1.0546 \times 10^{-27} \text{ g cm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1})^4}$$
$$= 1.595 \times 10^{-9} \text{ sec x } \frac{1}{Z^4}$$

So, for example:

Atom	R
Н	1.595 ns
He ⁺	99.7 ps
Li ⁺²	19.7 ps
Be ⁺³	6.23 ps
Ne ⁺⁹	159 fs

a.
$$H = H_0 + H'(t), H'(t) = V(t), H_0 = E_k = E_k/\hbar$$

$$\begin{split} &i\hbar \overline{t} = H \\ &let \quad (r,t) = i\hbar \sum_{j} c_{j}(t) \ _{j}e^{-i} \ _{j}^{t} \ and insert into the Schrödinger equation: \\ &i\hbar \sum_{j} c_{j} - i \ _{j}c_{j} \ e^{-i} \ _{j}^{t} \ _{j} = i\hbar \sum_{j} c_{j}(t)e^{-i} \ _{j}^{t}(H_{0} + H'(t)) \ _{j} \\ &i\hbar c_{j} + E_{j}c_{j} - c_{j}E_{j} - c_{j} \ H' \ e^{-i} \ _{j}^{t} \ _{j} = 0 \\ &j \\ &i\hbar c_{j} < m|j> - c_{j} \ < m|H'|j> \ e^{-i} \ _{j}^{t} = 0 \\ &j \\ &i\hbar c \ _{m} \ e^{-i} \ \ ^{mt} = \sum_{j} c_{j} \ H'mj \ e^{-i} \ ^{jt} \end{split}$$

j

So,

$$c_m = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_j H'_{mj} e^{-i(jm)t}$$

Going back a few equations and multiplying from the left by k instead of m we obtain:

$$\begin{split} &i\hbar c_j <\!\! k|j\!\!> - c_j <\!\! k|H'|j\!\!> e^{-i} j^t = 0 \\ &j \\ &i\hbar c_k e^{-i} k^t = c_j H'_{kj} e^{-i} j^t \\ &j \end{split}$$

So,

$$c_k = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_j H'_{kj} e^{-i(jk)t}$$

Now, let:

$$\begin{split} c_m &= c_m{}^{(0)} + c_m{}^{(1)} &+ c_m{}^{(2)}{}^2 + \dots \\ c_k &= c_k{}^{(0)} + c_k{}^{(1)} &+ c_k{}^{(2)}{}^2 + \dots \end{split}$$

and substituting into above we obtain:

$$c_{m}^{(0)} + c_{m}^{(1)} + c_{m}^{(2)} + \dots = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [c_{j}^{(0)} + c_{j}^{(1)} + c_{j}^{(2)} + \dots] H'_{mj} e^{-i(jm)t}$$

first order:

$$c_{m}^{(0)} = 0$$
 $c_{m}^{(0)} = 1$

second order:

$$c_{m}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_{j}^{(0)} H'_{mj} e^{-i(jm)t}$$

(n+1)st order:

$$c_{m}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_{j}^{(n-1)} H'_{mj} e^{-i(jm)t}$$

Similarly:

first order:

$$c_k^{(0)} = 0$$
 $c_k_m^{(0)} = 0$

second order:

$$c_{k}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_{j}^{(0)} H'_{kj} e^{-i(jk)t}$$

(n+1)st order:

$$c_{k}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_{j}^{(n-1)} H'_{kj} e^{-i(jk)t}$$

So,

$$c_{m}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_{m}^{(0)} H'_{mm} e^{-i(mm)t} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} H'_{mm}$$

$$c_{m}^{(1)}(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} dt' V_{mm} = \frac{V_{mm}t}{i\hbar}$$

and similarly,

$$c_{k}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_{m}^{(0)} H'_{km} e^{-i(mk)t} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} H'_{km} e^{-i(mk)t}$$

$$c_k^{(1)}(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} V_{km} \int_0^t dt' e^{-i(mk)t'} = \frac{V_{km}}{\hbar mk} [e^{-i(mk)t} - 1]$$

$$c_{m}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_{j}^{(1)} H'_{mj} e^{-i(jm)t}$$

$$c_{m}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{j} \frac{V_{jm}}{\hbar \hbar m_{j}} [e^{-i(mj)t} - 1] H'_{mj} e^{-i(jm)t} + \frac{1}{\hbar \hbar m_{j}} \frac{V_{mm}t}{\hbar \hbar} H'_{mm}$$

$$c_{m}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{j} \frac{V_{jm}V_{mj}}{\hbar} \frac{t}{\hbar} \frac{dt'}{mj} e^{-i(jm)t'} \left[e^{-i(mj)t'} - 1 \right] - \frac{V_{mm}V_{mm}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{t}{0} t' dt'$$

$$= \frac{V_{jm}V_{mj}}{j} \frac{t}{\hbar^2 mj} \frac{dt'[1 - e^{-i(jm)t'}]}{0} - \frac{|V_{mm}|^2}{\hbar^2} \frac{t^2}{2}$$

$$= \frac{V_{jm}V_{mj}}{j m} t - \frac{e^{-i(jm)t} - 1}{-i jm} - \frac{|V_{mm}|^2}{\hbar^2} \frac{t^2}{2}$$
$$= \frac{V_{jm}V_{mj}}{m^{\hbar^2} mj^2} (e^{-i(jm)t} - 1) + \frac{V_{jm}V_{mj}}{j m} t - \frac{|V_{mm}|^2}{2\hbar^2}$$

Similarly,

$$c_{k}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_{j}^{(1)} H'_{kj} e^{-i(jk)t}$$
$$= \frac{1}{j} \frac{1}{m^{i\hbar}} \frac{V_{jm}}{m_{j}} [e^{-i(mj)t} - 1] H'_{kj} e^{-i(jk)t} + \frac{1}{j} H'_{kj} e^$$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} \frac{V_{mm}t}{i\hbar} H'_{km} e^{-i(-mk)t}$$

$$c_{k}^{(2)}(t) = \int_{j}^{t} \frac{V_{jm}V_{kj}}{m^{2} m^{j}} \int_{0}^{t} dt' e^{-i(jk)t'} [e^{-i(mj)t'} - 1]$$

$$-\frac{V_{mm}V_{km}}{\hbar^2} \int_{0}^{t} t' dt' e^{-i(mk)t'}$$

$$= \frac{V_{jm}V_{kj}}{j \ m^{i\hbar^2} \ mj} \ \frac{e^{-i(\ mj^+ \ jm)^t} - 1}{-i \ mk} - \frac{e^{-i(\ jk)^t} - 1}{-i \ jk}$$

$$-\frac{V_{mm}V_{km}}{\hbar^2} e^{-i(mk)t'} \frac{t'}{-imk} - \frac{1}{-(imk)^2} \frac{t}{0}$$

$$= \frac{V_{jm}V_{kj}}{j m^{h^2} mj} \frac{e^{-i(mk)t} - 1}{mk} - \frac{e^{-i(jk)t} - 1}{jk}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{V_{mm}V_{km}}{\hbar^2} e^{-i(-mk)t'} \frac{t'}{i} - \frac{1}{-mk} \frac{t}{0} \\ &= \int_{j} \frac{V_{jm}V_{kj}}{E_m - E_j} \frac{e^{-i(-mk)t} - 1}{E_m - E_k} - \frac{e^{-i(-jk)t} - 1}{E_j - E_k} \\ &+ \frac{V_{mm}V_{km}}{\hbar(E_m - E_k)} e^{-i(-mk)t} \frac{t}{i} - \frac{1}{-mk} + \frac{1}{-mk} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} c_m(t) &= 1 + \frac{V_{mm}t}{i\hbar} + \int_{j-m} \frac{V_{jm}V_{mj}}{i\hbar(E_m - E_j)} t + \\ &\int_{j-m} \frac{V_{jm}V_{mj}}{\hbar^2(E_m - E_j)^2} \left(e^{-i(-jm)t} - 1 \right) - \frac{|V_{mm}|^2 t^2}{2\hbar^2} \end{split}$$

So, the overall amplitudes c_m , and c_k , to second order are:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{k}(t) &= \frac{V_{km}}{(E_{m} - E_{k})} [e^{-i(-mk)t} - 1] + \\ &\frac{V_{mm}V_{km}}{(E_{m} - E_{k})^{2}} [1 - e^{-i(-mk)t}] + \frac{V_{mm}V_{km}}{(E_{m} - E_{k})} \frac{t}{hi} e^{-i(-mk)t} + \\ &\frac{V_{jm}V_{kj}}{E_{m} - E_{j}} \frac{e^{-i(-mk)t} - 1}{E_{m} - E_{k}} - \frac{e^{-i(-jk)t} - 1}{E_{j} - E_{k}} \end{aligned}$$

b. The perturbation equations still hold:

$$c_{m}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_{j}^{(n-1)} H'_{mj} e^{-i(jm)t} ; c_{k}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} c_{j}^{(n-1)} H'_{kj} e^{-i(jk)t}$$

So, $c_m^{(0)} = 1$ and $c_k^{(0)} = 0$

 $c_{m}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} H'_{mm}$

$$c_{m}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} V_{mm} \int_{-}^{t} dt' e^{-t} = \frac{V_{mm}e^{-t}}{i\hbar}$$

$$c_{k}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} H'_{km} e^{-i(-mk)t}$$

$$c_{k}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} V_{km} \int_{-}^{t} dt' e^{-i(-mk^{+-})t'} = \frac{V_{km}}{i\hbar(-i-mk^{+-})} [e^{-i(-mk^{+-})t}]$$

$$= \frac{V_{km}}{E_{m} - E_{k} + i\hbar} [e^{-i(-mk^{+-})t}]$$

$$c_{m}^{(2)} = \int_{j} \frac{1}{m} \frac{V_{jm}}{E_{m} - E_{j} + i\hbar} e^{-i(-mj^{+-})t} V_{mj} e^{-t} e^{-i(-jm)t} + \frac{1}{m} V_{m}^{(1)} e^{-i(-mk^{+-})t}$$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} \frac{V_{mm} e^{-t}}{i\hbar} V_{mm} e^{-t}$$

$$c_{m}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{j} \frac{V_{jm}V_{mj}}{m^{ih} E_{m} - E_{j} + i\hbar} \int_{-}^{t} e^{2t'dt'} - \frac{|V_{mm}|^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \int_{-}^{t} e^{2t'dt'}$$
$$= \frac{V_{jm}V_{mj}}{j m^{ih} (E_{m} - E_{j} + i\hbar)} e^{2t} - \frac{|V_{mm}|^{2}}{2\hbar^{2} 2} e^{2t}$$
$$c_{k}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{j} \frac{V_{jm}}{m^{ih} E_{m} - E_{j} + i\hbar} e^{-i(mj^{+})^{t}} H'_{kj} e^{-i(jk)t} + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \frac{V_{mm}e^{-t}}{i\hbar} H'_{km} e^{-it}$$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} \frac{V_{mm} e^{t}}{i\hbar} H'_{km} e^{-i(mk)t}$$

$$c_{k}^{(2)} = \frac{\frac{1}{j} \frac{V_{jm}V_{kj}}{m^{i\hbar} E_{m} - E_{j} + i\hbar} \frac{t}{e^{-i(-mk+2)t'} dt'} - \frac{t}{m^{i} E_{m} - E_{j} + i\hbar} \frac{t}{e^{-i(-mk+2)t'} dt'}$$

$$\frac{V_{mm}V_{km}}{\hbar^2} \int_{-}^{t} e^{-i(-mk+2)t'} dt'$$

$$= \frac{V_{jm}V_{kj}e^{-i(-mk+2)t}}{j m'(E_m - E_j + i\hbar)(E_m - E_k + 2i\hbar)} - \frac{V_{mm}V_{km}e^{-i(-mk+2)t}}{i\hbar (E_m - E_k + 2i\hbar)}$$

Therefore, to second order:

$$c_m(t) = 1 + \frac{V_{mm}e^{-t}}{i\hbar} + \frac{V_{jm}V_{mj}}{j} \frac{V_{jm}V_{mj}}{i\hbar 2 (E_m - E_j + i\hbar)} e^{2-t}$$

$$c_{k}(t) = \frac{V_{km}}{i\hbar(-i_{mk}+)} \left[e^{-i(mk+)t} \right]$$

+
$$\frac{V_{jm}V_{kj}e^{-i(mk+2)t}}{(E_m - E_j + i\hbar)(E_m - E_k + 2i\hbar)}$$

c. In part a. the $c^{(2)}(t)$ grow linearly with time (for $V_{mm} = 0$) while in part b. they remain finite for > 0. The result in part a. is due to the sudden turning on of the field.

d.
$$|c_k(t)|^2 = \frac{V_{jm}V_{kj}e^{-i(-mk+2)t}}{(E_m - E_j + i\hbar)(E_m - E_k + 2i\hbar)} 2$$

$$= \frac{V_{kj}V_{kj'}V_{jm}V_{j'm} \ e^{-i(-mk+2-)t}e^{i(-mk+2-)t}}{(E_m - E_j + i\hbar_-)(E_m - E_{j'} - i\hbar_-)(E_m - E_k + 2i\hbar_-)(E_m - E_k - 2i\hbar_-)}$$

$$= \frac{V_{kj}V_{kj'}V_{jm}V_{j'm} e^{4 t}}{[(E_m - E_j)(E_m - E_{j'}) + i\hbar (E_j - E_{j'}) + \hbar^2 ^2]((E_m - E_k)^2 + 4\hbar^2 ^2)}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt} |c_k(t)|^2 = \frac{4 V_{kj}V_{kj'}V_{jm}V_{j'm}}{[(E_m - E_j)(E_m - E_{j'}) + i\hbar (E_j - E_{j'}) + \hbar^2 ^2]((E_m - E_k)^2 + 4\hbar^2 ^2)}$$

Now, look at the limit as 0^+ :

$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \, |c_k(t)|^2 & 0 \text{ when } E_m = E_k \\ & \lim_{0^+} \frac{4}{((E_m - E_k)^2 + 4\hbar^2 \ ^2)} \qquad (E_m - E_k) \end{split}$$

So, the final result is the 2^{nd} order golden rule expression:

$$\frac{d}{dt} |c_k(t)|^2 = \frac{2}{\hbar} (E_m - E_k) \lim_{\substack{0^+ \\ j}} \frac{V_{jm} V_{kj}}{(E_j - E_m - i\hbar)}^2$$

34.

a.
$$T_{nm} \quad \frac{|\langle n|V|m\rangle|^2}{\hbar^2 \quad nm^2}$$

evaluating <1s|V|2s> (using only the radial portions of the 1s and 2s wavefunctions since the spherical harmonics will integrate to unity) where $V = (e^2/r)$, the change in Coulomb potential when tritium becomes He:

$$<1s|V|2s> = 2\frac{Z}{a_0}^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{\frac{-Zr}{a_0}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_0}^{\frac{3}{2}} 1 - \frac{Zr}{2a_0} e^{\frac{-Zr}{2a_0}} r^2 dr$$

$$<1s|V|2s> = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_0}^3$$
 re $\frac{-3Zr}{2a_0}$ dr - $\frac{Zr^2}{2a_0}e^{\frac{-3Zr}{2a_0}}$ dr

$$=\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_0}^3 \frac{1}{\frac{3Z}{2a_0}^2} - \frac{Z}{2a_0} \frac{2}{\frac{3Z}{2a_0}^3}$$

$$<1s|V|2s> = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_0} \frac{3}{3} \frac{2^2 a_0^2}{3^2 Z^2} - \frac{2^3 a_0^2}{3^3 Z^2}$$
$$<1s|V|2s> = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_0} \frac{3}{3} \frac{(3)2^2 a_0^2 - 2^3 a_0^2}{3^3 Z^2} = \frac{8Z}{\sqrt{2}27a_0}$$

Now,

$$E_n = -\frac{Z^2 e^2}{n^2 2 a_0}$$
, $E_{1s} = -\frac{Z^2 e^2}{2 a_0}$, $E_{2s} = -\frac{Z^2 e^2}{8 a_0}$, $E_{2s} - E_{1s} = \frac{3Z^2 e^2}{8 a_0}$

So,

$$T_{nm} = \frac{\frac{8Z}{\sqrt{227a_0}}^2}{\frac{3Z^2}{8a_0}^2} = \frac{2^6Z^22^6a_0^2}{(2)3^8a_0^2Z^4} = \frac{2^{11}}{3^8Z^2} = 0.312 \text{ (for } Z = 1)$$

b.
$$m(r) = \frac{Z}{1s} = 2 \frac{Z}{a_0} \frac{3}{2} e^{\frac{-Zr}{a_0}} Y_{00}$$

The orthogonality of the spherical harmonics results in only s-states having non-zero values for A_{nm} . We can then drop the Y_{00} (integrating this term will only result in unity) in determining the value of $A_{1s,2s}$.

$$_{n}(\mathbf{r}) = _{2s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_{0}} \frac{3}{2} \mathbf{1} - \frac{Z\mathbf{r}}{2a_{0}} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{-Z\mathbf{r}}{2a_{0}}}$$

Remember for $_{1s} Z = 1$ and for $_{2s} Z = 2$

$$A_{nm} = 2 \frac{Z}{a_0} \frac{3}{2} e^{\frac{-Zr}{a_0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z+1}{a_0} \frac{3}{2} 1 - \frac{(Z+1)r}{2a_0} e^{\frac{-(Z+1)r}{2a_0}} r^2 dr$$
$$A_{nm} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_0} \frac{3}{2} \frac{Z+1}{a_0} \frac{3}{2} e^{\frac{-(3Z+1)r}{2a_0}} 1 - \frac{(Z+1)r}{2a_0} r^2 dr$$

$$A_{nm} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_0} \frac{3}{2} \frac{Z+1}{a_0} \frac{3}{2} r^2 e^{\frac{-(3Z+1)r}{2a_0}} dr - \frac{(Z+1)r^3}{2a_0} e^{\frac{-(3Z+1)r}{2a_0}} dr$$

We obtain:

$$A_{nm} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{Z+1}{a_0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{2}{\frac{Z+1}{2a_0}}^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{Z+1}{2a_0} \frac{(3)(2)}{\frac{3Z+1}{2a_0}^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
$$A_{nm} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{Z+1}{a_0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{2^4 a_0^3}{(3Z+1)^3} - (Z+1) \frac{(3)2^4 a_0^3}{(3Z+1)^4}$$
$$A_{nm} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Z}{a_0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{Z+1}{a_0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{2^{-25} a_0^3}{(3Z+1)^4}$$
$$A_{nm} = -2 \frac{[2^{3}Z(Z+1)]}{(3Z+1)^4}^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

The transition probability is the square of this amplitude:

$$T_{nm} = -2 \frac{\left[\frac{2^{3}Z(Z+1)\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(3Z+1)^{4}} = \frac{2^{11}Z^{3}(Z+1)^{3}}{(3Z+1)^{8}} = 0.25 \text{ (for } Z = 1\text{)}.$$

The difference in these two results (parts a. and b.) will become negligible at large values of Z when the perturbation becomes less significant than in the case of Z = 1.

35.

is along Z (lab fixed), and $\mu\,$ is along z (the C-I molecule fixed bond). The angle between Z and z is $\,$:

$$\cdot \mu = \mu Cos = \mu D_{00}^{1*}()$$

So,

$$I = \langle D_{M'K'}^{J'} | \cdot \mu | D_{MK}^{J} \rangle = D_{M'K'}^{J'} \cdot \mu D_{MK}^{J} \operatorname{Sin} d d d$$

$$= \mu D_{M'K'}^{J'} D_{00}^{1*} D_{MK}^{J} Sin \ d \ d \ d \ .$$

Now use:

$$D_{M'n'}^{J'*} D_{00}^{1*} = \langle J'M'10|jm \rangle^* D_{mn}^{j*} \langle jn|J'K'10 \rangle^*,$$

to obtain:

$$I = \mu \frac{\langle J'M'10|jm\rangle^* \langle jn|J'K'10\rangle^* D_{mn}^{j*} D_{MK}^J Sin \ d \ d \ d \ .$$

Now use:

$$D_{mn}^{j*} D_{MK}^{J} Sin d d d = \frac{8^{2}}{2J+1} J_{j} Mm n,$$

to obtain:

$$I = \mu \frac{8}{2J+1} \frac{2}{jmn} < J'M'10 |jm\rangle^* < jn|J'K'10\rangle^* J_{j} M_{m} n$$

$$= \mu \frac{8^2}{2J+1} < J'M'10|JM> < JK|J'K'10>.$$

We use:

$$\langle JK|J'K'10 \rangle = \sqrt{2J+1}(-i)^{(J'-1+K)} \begin{array}{c} J'1J \\ K'0K \end{array}$$

and,

$$<$$
J'M'10|JM> = $\sqrt{2J+1}(-i)^{(J'-1+M)} \frac{J' 1 J}{M' 0 M}$

to give:

$$I = \mu \frac{8}{2J+1} \sqrt{2J+1} (-i)^{(J'-1+M)} \int_{M'0M}^{J'1J} \sqrt{2J+1} (-i)^{(J'-1+K)} \int_{K'0K}^{J'1J} J_{K'0K}$$
$$= \mu 8 \frac{2}{(-i)^{(J'-1+M+J'-1+K)}} \int_{M'0M}^{J'1J} \int_{K'0K}^{J'1J} J_{K'0K}$$
$$= \mu 8 \frac{2}{(-i)^{(M+K)}} \int_{M'0M}^{J'1J} \int_{K'0K}^{J'1J}$$

The 3-J symbols vanish unless: K' + 0 = K and M' + 0 = M.

So,

$$I = \mu 8 \ {}^{2}(-i)^{(M+K)} \frac{J' 1 J}{M 0 M} \frac{J' 1 J}{K 0 K} M'M K'K \cdot$$

b. $\frac{J' 1 J}{M 0 M}$ and $\frac{J' 1 J}{K 0 K}$ vanish unless $J' = J + 1, J, J - 1$
 $J = \pm 1, 0$

The K quantum number can not change because the dipole moment lies along the molecule's C_3 axis and the light's electric field thus can exert no torque that twists the molecule about this axis. As a result, the light can not induce transitions that excite the molecule's spinning motion about this axis.

36.

a. B atom: $1s^22s^22p^1$, ²P ground state L = 1, S = $\frac{1}{2}$, gives a degeneracy ((2L+1)(2S+1)) of 6.

O atom: $1s^22s^22p^4$, ³P ground state L = 1, S = 1, gives a degeneracy

((2L+1)(2S+1)) of 9.

The total number of states formed is then (6)(9) = 54.

b. We need only consider the p orbitals to find the low lying molecular states:



Which, in reality look like this:



This is the correct ordering to give a 2 + ground state. The only low-lying electron configurations are 1 $^{3}5$ 2 or 1 $^{4}5$ 1 . These lead to 2 and 2 + states, respectively.

c. The bond orders in both states are 2.5.

d. The 2 is + but g/u symmetry cannot be specified since this is a heteronuclear molecule.

e. Only one excited state, the ², is spin-allowed to radiate to the ² +. Consider symmetries of transition moment operators that arise in the electric dipole contributions to the transition rate z +, x, y, the ² ² + is electric dipole allowed via a perpendicular band.

f. Since ionization will remove a bonding electron, the BO⁺ bond is weaker than the BO bond.

g. The ground state BO⁺ is ¹ ⁺ corresponding to a 1 ⁴ electron configuration. An electron configuration of 1 ³ 5 ¹ leads to a ³ and a ¹ state. The ³ will be lower in energy. A 1 ² 5 ² configuration will lead to higher lying states of ³ ⁻, ¹ , and ¹ ⁺.

h. There should be 3 bands corresponding to formation of BO⁺ in the 1^{+} , 3^{-} , and 1^{-} states. Since each of these involves removing a bonding electron, the Franck-Conden integrals will be appreciable for several vibrational levels, and thus a vibrational progression should be observed.

37.

a. The bending () vibration is degenerate.

b. H---C N

bending fundamental

c. H---C N

.

stretching fundamental

d. CH stretch ($_3$ in figure) is , CN stretch is , and HCN ($_2$ in figure) bend is

e. Under z () light the CN stretch and the CH stretch can be excited, since $_0 =$

, 1 = and z = provides coupling.

f. Under x,y () light the HCN bend can be excited, since $_0 =$, $_1 =$ and x,y = provides coupling.

g. The bending vibration is active under (x,y) perpendicular polarized light. J = 0, ±1 are the selection rules for transitions. The CH stretching vibration is active under $(z) \parallel$ polarized light. J = ±1 are the selection rules for \parallel transitions.

$$F_{i} = i_{j} = h_{i} + \begin{bmatrix} J_{j} - K_{j} \end{bmatrix} i_{j}$$

Let the closed shell Fock potential be written as:

$$V_{ij} = 2 \langle ik|jk \rangle - \langle ik|kj \rangle$$
, and the 1e⁻ component as:
k
 $h_{ij} = 2 \langle ik|jk \rangle - \langle ik|kj \rangle$, and the 1e⁻ component as:

$$h_{ij} = \langle i| - \frac{1}{2} | 2 - A_{A} | r - R_{A} | j \rangle$$
, and the delta as:

$$_{ij} = \langle i | j \rangle$$
, so that: $h_{ij} + V_{ij} = _{ij}$.

using: $_{i} = C_{\mu i \ \mu}$, $_{j} = C_{j}$, and $_{k} = C_{k}$, and transforming from the MO

to AO basis we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{ij} &= C_{\mu i} C_k C_j C_k 2 < \mu | > - < \mu | > \\ &= (C_k C_k) (C_{\mu i} C_j) 2 < \mu | > - < \mu | > \\ &= (C_{\mu i} C_j) V_{\mu} \text{ where,} \\ &\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{\mu} &= P 2 < \mu | > - < \mu | > , \text{ and } P = (C_k C_k), \\ &k \end{aligned}$$

 $h_{ij} = (C_{\mu i} C_j) h_{\mu}$, where μ

38.

$$h_{\mu} = \langle \mu | -\frac{1}{2} | 2 - \frac{Z_A}{A} | \rangle$$
, and

$$_{ij} = \langle i|j \rangle = (C_{\mu i}S_{\mu} C_j).$$

So, $h_{ij} + V_{ij} = i_{jj} j$ becomes:

Therefore,

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_{\mu} + V_{\mu} - jS_{\mu} - \end{bmatrix} C_{j} = 0$$

This is FC = SCE in the AO basis.

39.

The Slater Condon rule for zero (spin orbital) difference with N electrons in N spin orbitals is:

$$E = \langle |H + G| \rangle = \frac{N}{i} \langle i|h| i \rangle + \frac{N}{i>j} \langle i|g| i|j \rangle \langle i|g| i|g| i|g| \rangle \langle i|g| i|g| \rangle \langle i|g| i|g| i|g| \rangle \langle i|g| i|g| \langle i|g| i|g| \langle i|g| i|g| \rangle \langle i|g| i|g| \langle i|g| i|g| \rangle \langle i|g| i|g| \rangle \langle i|g| i|g| \rangle \langle i|g| i|g| \rangle \langle i|g|$$

If all orbitals are doubly occupied and we carry out the spin integration we obtain:

$$\begin{split} E &= 2 \mathop{h_{ii}}_{i} + \mathop{c}_{ij} (2g_{ijij} - g_{ijji}), \end{split}$$

where i and j now refer to orbitals (not spin-orbitals).

40.

If the occupied orbitals obey F $_{k} = _{k} _{k}$, then the expression for E in problem 39 can be rewritten as.

$$E = \begin{array}{c} & occ & occ & occ \\ E = & h_{ii} + & (2g_{ijij} - g_{ijji}) & + & h_{ii} \\ i & j & i \end{array}$$

We recognize the closed shell Fock operator expression and rewrite this as:

$$E = \frac{\text{occ}}{F_{ii}} + \frac{\text{occ}}{h_{ii}} = (i + h_{ii})$$

I will use the QMIC software to do this problem. Lets just start from the beginning. Get the starting "guess" MO coefficients on disk. Using the program MOCOEFS it asks us for the first and second MO vectors. We input 1, 0 for the first mo (this means that the first MO is 1.0 times the He 1s orbital plus 0.0 times the H 1s orbital; this bonding MO is more likely to be heavily weighted on the atom having the higher nuclear charge) and 0,

1 for the second. Our beginning LCAO-MO array looks like:

$$1.0 \quad 0.0$$

 $0.0 \quad 1.0$
and is placed

on disk in a file we choose to call "mocoefs.dat". We also put the AO integrals on disk using the program RW_INTS. It asks for the unique one- and two- electron integrals and places a canonical list of these on disk in a file we choose to call "ao_integrals.dat". At this point it is useful for us to step back and look at the set of equations which we wish to solve: FC = SCE. The QMIC software does not provide us with a so-called generalized eigenvalue solver (one that contains an overlap matrix; or metric), so in order to use the diagonalization program that is provided we must transform this equation (FC = SCE) to one that looks like (F'C' = C'E). We do that in the following manner:

Since S is symmetric and positive definite we can find an S^{- $\frac{1}{2}$} such that S^{- $\frac{1}{2}$} S^{+ $\frac{1}{2}$} = 1, S^{- $\frac{1}{2}$} S = S^{+ $\frac{1}{2}$}, etc.

41.

rewrite FC = SCE by inserting unity between FC and multiplying the whole equation on

the left by $S^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. This gives:

$$S^{-\frac{1}{2}}FS^{-\frac{1}{2}}S^{+\frac{1}{2}}C = S^{-\frac{1}{2}}SCE = S^{+\frac{1}{2}}CE$$

 $F' = S^{-\frac{1}{2}}FS^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

Letting:

 $C' = S^{+\frac{1}{2}}C$, and inserting these expressions above give: F'C' = C'E

Note, that to get the next iteration's MO coefficients we must calculate C from C':

C' = S<sup>+
$$\frac{1}{2}$$</sup>C, so, multiplying through on the left by S^{- $\frac{1}{2}$} gives:
S^{- $\frac{1}{2}$} C' = S^{- $\frac{1}{2}$} S^{+ $\frac{1}{2}$} C = C

This will be the method we will use to solve our fock equations.

Find $S^{-\overline{2}}$ by using the program FUNCT_MAT (this program generates a function of a matrix). This program will ask for the elements of the S array and write to disk a file

(name of your choice ... a good name might be "shalf") containing the S^{$-\frac{1}{2}$} array. Now we are ready to begin the iterative Fock procedure.

a. Calculate the Fock matrix, F, using program FOCK which reads in the MO coefficients from "mocoefs.dat" and the integrals from "ao_integrals.dat" and writes the resulting Fock matrix to a user specified file (a good filename to use might be something like "fock1").

b. Calculate $F' = S^{-\frac{1}{2}}FS^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ using the program UTMATU which reads in F and $S^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

from files on the disk and writes F' to a user specified file (a good filename to use might be something like "fock1p"). Diagonalize F' using the program DIAG. This program reads in the matrix to be diagonalized from a user specified filename and writes the resulting eigenvectors to disk using a user specified filename (a good filename to use might be something like "coef1p"). You may wish to choose the option to write the eigenvalues (Fock orbital energies) to disk in order to use them at a later time in program

FENERGY. Calculate C by using. $C = S^{-\frac{1}{2}}C'$. This is accomplished by using the program MATXMAT which reads in two matrices to be multiplied from user specified files and writes the product to disk using a user specified filename (a good filename to use might be something like "mocoefs.dat").

c. The QMIC program FENERGY calculates the total energy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 2{<}k|h|k{>}+2{<}kl|kl{>}-{<}kl|lk{>}+& \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}}\,, \qquad \mbox{ and } \\ kl & \mu{>} \end{array}$$

$$\label{eq:k} \begin{array}{c} _{k} + <\!\! k |h|k\!\!> + & \frac{Z_{\mu}\!Z}{R_{\mu}} \, . \\ k & \mu \!\!> & \end{array}$$

This is the conclusion of one iteration of the Fock procedure ... you may continue by going back to part a. and proceeding onward.

d. and e. Results for the successful convergence of this system using the supplied QMIC software are as follows (this data is provided to give the student assurance that

they are on the right track; alternatively one could switch to the QMIC program SCF and allow that program to iteratively converge the Fock equations):

	-2.644200	-1.511300
The one-electron AO integrals:	-1.511300	-1.720100

The two-electron AO integrals:

1	1	1	1	1.054700
2	1	1	1	0.4744000
2	1	2	1	0.5664000
2	2	1	1	0.2469000
2	2	2	1	0.3504000
2	2	2	2	0.6250000

	1.000000	0.000000
The "initial" MO-AO coefficients:	0.000000	1.000000

	1.000000	0.578400
AO overlap matrix (S):	0.578400	1.000000

$a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	1.168032	-0.3720709
S 2	-0.3720709	1.168031

ITERATION 1

	1.000000	0.0000000
The charge bond order matrix:	0.0000000	0.0000000

	-1.589500	-1.036900
The Fock matrix (F):	-1.036900	-0.8342001

$S^{-\frac{1}{2}} F S^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	-1.382781	-0.5048679
	-0.5048678	-0.4568883

The eigenvalues of this matrix (Fock orbital energies) are:

[-1.604825 -0.2348450]

Their corresponding eigenvectors (C' = S $^{+\frac{1}{2}}$ * C) are:

-0.9153809 -0.4025888 -0.4025888 0.9153810 The "new" MO-AO coefficients (C = S $\frac{1}{2}$ * C'):

-0.9194022	-0.8108231
-0.1296498	1.218985

The one-electron MO integrals:

-2.624352 -0.1644336 -0.1644336 -1.306845

The two-electron MO integrals:

- 1 1 1 1 0.9779331
- $2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0.1924623$
- $2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0.5972075$
- $2\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 0.1170838$
- 2 2 2 1 -0.0007945194
- 2 2 2 2 0.6157323

The closed shell Fock energy from formula:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2{<}k|h|k{>}+2{<}kl|kl{>}-{<}kl|lk{>}+& \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} &=& -2.84219933 \end{array}$$

from formula:

$${}_{k} + <\!\! k |h|k\!\!> + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.80060530$$

-0.04159403

ITERATION 2

	0.8453005	0.1192003
The charge bond order matrix:	0.1192003	0.01680906

	-1.624673	-1.083623
The Fock matrix:	-1.083623	-0.8772071

$s^{-\frac{1}{2}} F s^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	-1.396111	-0.5411037
	-0.5411037	-0.4798213

The eigenvalues of this matrix (Fock orbital energies) are:
Their corresponding eigenvectors (C' = S $^{+\frac{1}{2}}$ * C) are:

-0.9072427 -0.4206074 -0.4206074 0.9072427

The "new" MO-AO coefficients (C = S $^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ * C'):

-0.9031923 -0.8288413 -0.1537240 1.216184

The one-electron MO integrals:

-2.617336 -0.1903475 -0.1903475 -1.313861

The two-electron MO integrals:

1 1 1 1 0.9626070

2 1 1 1 0.1949828

The closed shell Fock energy from formula:

$$2 < k|h|k> + 2 < kl|kl> - < kl|lk> + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.84349298$$

from formula:

$${}_{k} + <\!\! k |h|k\!\!> + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.83573675$$

the difference is:

-0.00775623

ITERATION 3

	0.8157563	0.1388423
The charge bond order matrix:	0.1388423	0.02363107

$$s^{-\frac{1}{2}} F s^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
 -1.398951 -0.5470731
-0.5470730 -0.4847007

The eigenvalues of this matrix (Fock orbital energies) are:

Their corresponding eigenvectors (C' = S $^{+\frac{1}{2}}$ * C) are:

-0.9058709 -0.4235546 -0.4235545 0.9058706

The "new" MO-AO coefficients (C = S $^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ * C'):

-0.9004935 -0.8317733 -0.1576767 1.215678

The one-electron MO integrals:

-2.616086 -0.1945811 -0.1945811 -1.315112

The two-electron MO integrals:

- 1 1 1 1 0.9600707
- 2 1 1 1 0.1953255
- 2 1 2 1 0.6060572
- $2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0.1259332$
- $2\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 0.004475587$
- $2\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 0.6158972$

The closed shell Fock energy from formula:

$$2 < k|h|k> + 2 < kl|kl> - < kl|lk> + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.84353018$$

from formula:

the difference is:

-0.00127077

ITERATION 4

	0.8108885	0.1419869
The charge bond order matrix:		
	0.1419869	0.02486194

	-1.632213	-1.093155
The Fock matrix:	-1.093155	-0.8866909

$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$	-1.399426	-0.5480287		
S 2	FS ²		-0.5480287	-0.4855191

The eigenvalues of this matrix (Fock orbital energies) are:

[-1.656015 -0.2289308]

Their corresponding eigenvectors (C' = S $+\frac{1}{2}$ * C) are:

-0.9056494 -0.4240271 -0.4240271 0.9056495 The "new" MO-AO coefficients (C = S $^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ * C'):

-0.9000589 -0.8322428 -0.1583111 1.215595

The one-electron MO integrals:

-2.615881	-0.1952594
-0.1952594	-1.315315

The two-electron MO integrals:

- 1 1 1 1 0.9596615
- 2 1 1 1 0.1953781
- 2 1 2 1 0.6062557
- $2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ \ 0.1261321$
- $2\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 0.004601604$
- 2 2 2 2 0.6159065

The closed shell Fock energy from formula:

$$2 < k|h|k> + 2 < kl|k|> - < kl|lk> + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.84352922$$

from formula:

$$k^{k} + \langle k|h|k \rangle + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.84332418$$

the difference is:

-0.00020504

ITERATION 5

	0.8101060	0.1424893
The charge bond order matrix:	0.1424893	0.02506241

	-1.632385	-1.093368	
The Fock matrix:	-1.093368	-0.8869066	

$\frac{1}{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$	-1.399504 -0.5481812	
S ² F S ²	-0.5481813 -0.4856516	

The eigenvalues of this matrix (Fock orbital energies) are:

Their corresponding eigenvectors (C' = S $^{+\frac{1}{2}}$ * C) are:

-0.9056138 -0.4241026 -0.4241028 0.9056141

The "new" MO-AO coefficients (C = S $^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ * C'):

-0.8999892 -0.8323179 -0.1584127 1.215582

The one-electron MO integrals:

-2.615847 -0.1953674 -0.1953674 -1.315348

The two-electron MO integrals:

1 1 1 1 0.9595956

2	1	1	1	0.1953862
2	1	2	1	0.6062872
2	2	1	1	0.1261639
2	2	2	1	0.004621811
2	2	2	2	0.6159078

The closed shell Fock energy from formula:

$$2 < k|h|k> + 2 < kl|kl> - < kl|lk> + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.84352779$$

from formula:

$$k + \langle k|h|k \rangle + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.84349489$$

the difference is:

-0.00003290

ITERATION 6

	0.8099805	0.1425698
The charge bond order matrix:	0.1425698	0.02509460

 -1.632412
 -1.093402

 The Fock matrix:
 -1.093402
 -0.8869413

$$S^{-\frac{1}{2}} F S^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
 -1.399517 -0.5482056
-0.5482056 -0.4856730

The eigenvalues of this matrix (Fock orbital energies) are:

[-1.656253 -0.2289375]

Their corresponding eigenvectors (C' = S $^{+\frac{1}{2}}$ * C) are:

-0.9056085 -0.4241144 -0.4241144 0.9056086

The "new" MO-AO coefficients (C = S $^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ * C'):

-0.8999786 -0.8323296 -0.1584283 1.215580 The one-electron MO integrals:

-2.615843 -0.1953846 -0.1953846 -1.315353

The two-electron MO integrals:

- 1
 1
 1
 0.9595859

 2
 1
 1
 1
 0.1953878

 2
 1
 2
 1
 0.6062925

 2
 2
 1
 1
 0.1261690

 2
 2
 2
 1
 0.0004625196
- $2\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 0.6159083$

The closed shell Fock energy from formula:

$$2 < k |h|k> + 2 < k l|k|> - < k l|lk> + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.84352827$$
 kl

from formula:

$$k^{k} + \langle k|h|k \rangle + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.84352398$$

the difference is:

-0.00000429

ITERATION 7

	0.8099616	0.1425821
The charge bond order matrix:	0.1425821	0.02509952

	-1.632416	-1.093407
The Fock matrix:		
	-1.093407	-0.8869464

$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	-1.399519	-0.5482093
$S^2 F S^2$	-0.5482092	-0.4856761

The eigenvalues of this matrix (Fock orbital energies) are:

Their corresponding eigenvectors (C' = S $^{+\frac{1}{2}}$ * C) are:

-0.9056076 -0.4241164 -0.4241164 0.9056077

The "new" MO-AO coefficients (C = S $\frac{1}{2}$ * C'):

-0.8999770 -0.8323317 -0.1584310 1.215580

The one-electron MO integrals:

-2.615843 -0.1953876 -0.1953876 -1.315354

The two-electron MO integrals:

- 1 1 1 1 0.9595849
- $2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0.1953881$
- 2 1 2 1 0.6062936
- $2\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 0.1261697$
- $2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \quad 0.004625696$
- $2\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 0.6159083$

The closed shell Fock energy from formula:

$$2 < k|h|k> + 2 < kl|kl> - < kl|lk> + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.84352922$$

from formula:

the difference is:

-0.0000095

ITERATION 8

	0.8099585	0.1425842
The charge bond order matrix:	0.1425842	0.02510037

	-1.632416	-1.093408
The Fock matrix:	-1.093408	-0.8869470

$$S^{-\frac{1}{2}} F S^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
 -1.399518 -0.5482103
-0.5482102 -0.4856761

The eigenvalues of this matrix (Fock orbital energies) are:

Their corresponding eigenvectors (C' = S $^{+\frac{1}{2}}$ * C) are:

-0.9056074 -0.4241168 -0.4241168 0.9056075

The "new" MO-AO coefficients (C = S $^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ * C'):

-0.8999765 -0.8323320 -0.1584315 1.215579

The one-electron MO integrals:

-2.615842 -0.1953882 -0.1953882 -1.315354 The two-electron MO integrals:

- 1 1 1 1 0.9595841
- 2 1 1 1 0.1953881
- 2 1 2 1 0.6062934
- $2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ \ 0.1261700$
- $2\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 0.004625901$
- 2 2 2 2 0.6159081

The closed shell Fock energy from formula:

$$2 < k|h|k> + 2 < kl|kl> - < kl|lk> + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.84352827$$

from formula:

$$k + \langle k|h|k \rangle + \frac{Z_{\mu}Z}{R_{\mu}} = -2.84352827$$

the difference is:

0.00000000

f. In looking at the energy convergence we see the following:

Iter	Formula 1	Formula 2
1	-2.84219933	-2.80060530
2	-2.84349298	-2.83573675
3	-2.84353018	-2.84225941
4	-2.84352922	-2.84332418
5	-2.84352779	-2.84349489
6	-2.84352827	-2.84352398
7	-2.84352922	-2.84352827
8	-2.84352827	-2.84352827

If you look at the energy differences (SCF at iteration n - SCF converged) and plot this data versus iteration number, and do a 5th order polynomial fit, we see the following:



 $y = 0.144 - 0.153x + 0.063x^2 - 0.013x^3 + 0.001x^4 \quad R = 1.00$

SCF(iter) - SCF(conv)

In looking at the polynomial fit we see that the convergence is primarily linear since the coefficient of the linear term is much larger than those of the cubic and higher terms.

g. The converged SCF total energy calculated using the result of problem 40 is an upper bound to the ground state energy, but, during the iterative procedure it is not. Only at convergence does the expectation value of the Hamiltonian for the Hartree Fock determinant become equal to that given by the equation in problem 40.

h. Yes, the 1⁻² configuration does dissociate properly because at at R the lowest energy state is He + H⁺, which also has a 1⁻² orbital occupancy (i.e., $1s^2$ on He and $1s^0$ on H⁺).

42.

2. At convergence the MO coefficients are:

	-0.8999765		-0.8323320
1 =	-0.1584315	2 =	= 1.215579

and the integrals in this MO basis are:

$$= \begin{array}{c} 2^{*}-2.615842 + 0.9595841 & 0.1261700 \\ 0.1261700 & 2^{*}-1.315354 + 0.6159081 \\ \end{array}$$
$$= \begin{array}{c} -4.272100 & 0.126170 \\ 0.126170 & -2.014800 \end{array}$$

b. The eigenvalues are $E_1 = -4.279131$ and $E_2 = -2.007770$. The corresponding eigenvectors are:

$$C_1 = \begin{array}{c} -.99845123 \\ 0.05563439 \end{array}, \qquad C_2 = \begin{array}{c} 0.05563438 \\ 0.99845140 \end{array}$$

c.

$$\frac{1}{2} = a^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^{\frac{1}{2}} +$$

d. The third configuration $|1 \ 2 | = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|1 \ 2 | - |1 \ 2 |]$,

Adding this configuration to the previous 2x2 CI results in the following 3x3 'full' CI:

$$2h_{11} + g_{1111} \qquad g_{1122} \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[2h_{12} + 2g_{2111}]$$

$$= \qquad g_{1122} \qquad 2h_{22} + g_{2222} \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[2h_{12} + 2g_{2221}]$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[2h_{12} + 2g_{2111}] \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[2h_{12} + 2g_{2221}] \qquad h_{11} + h_{22} + g_{2121} + g_{2211}]$$

Evaluating the new matrix elements:

=

$$\begin{split} H_{13} &= H_{31} = \sqrt{2} * (-0.1953882 + 0.1953881) = 0.0 \\ H_{23} &= H_{32} = \sqrt{2} * (-0.1953882 + 0.004626) = -0.269778 \\ H_{33} &= -2.615842 - 1.315354 + 0.606293 + 0.126170 \\ &= -3.198733 \\ -4.272100 \quad 0.126170 \qquad 0.0 \\ 0.126170 \quad -2.014800 \quad -0.269778 \\ 0.0 \qquad -0.269778 \quad -3.198733 \end{split}$$

e. The eigenvalues are $E_1 = -4.279345$, $E_2 = -3.256612$ and $E_3 = -1.949678$. The corresponding eigenvectors are:

f. We need the non-vanishing matrix elements of the dipole operator in the MO basis. These can be obtained by calculating them by hand. They are more easily obtained by using the TRANS program. Put the 1e⁻ AO integrals on disk by running the

program RW_INTS. In this case you are inserting $z_{11} = 0.0$, $z_{21} = 0.2854$, and $z_{22} = 1.4$ (insert 0.0 for all the 2e⁻ integrals) ... call the output file "ao_dipole.ints" for example. The converged MO-AO coefficients should be in a file ("mocoefs.dat" is fine). The transformed integrals can be written to a file (name of your choice) for example "mo_dipole.ints". These matrix elements are:

 $z_{11} = 0.11652690, z_{21} = -0.54420990, z_{22} = 1.49117320$

The excitation energies are $E_2 - E_1 = -3.256612 - -4.279345 = 1.022733$, and

 $E_3 - E_1 = -1.949678 - -4.279345 = 2.329667.$

Using the Slater-Conden rules to obtain the matrix elements between configurations we obtain:

$$2z_{11} \qquad 0 \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[2z_{12}]$$

$$= \qquad 0 \qquad 2z_{22} \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[2z_{12}]$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[2z_{12}] \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[2z_{12}] \qquad z_{11} + z_{22}$$

$$0.233054 \qquad 0 \qquad -0.769629$$

$$= \qquad 0 \qquad 2.982346 \quad -0.769629$$

$$-0.769629 \quad -0.769629 \qquad 1.607700$$

Now, $\langle 1|z| \rangle = C_1^T H_z C_2$, (this can be accomplished with the program UTMATU)

	-0.99825280	Т	0.233054	0	-0.769629	-0.02605343
=	0.05732290		0	2.982346	-0.769629	-0.20969283
	0.01431085		-0.769629	-0.769629	1.607700	-0.97742000
=	.757494					
and	$, < z _{3} > 1$	$= C_1$	^T H _z C ₃			

= 0.014322

g. Using the converged coefficients the orbital energies obtained from solving the Fock equations are $_1 = -1.656258$ and $_2 = -0.228938$. The resulting expression for the PT first-order wavefunction becomes:

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2211}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2211}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ -\frac{g_{2221}}{2(2-1)} \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix}$$

h. As you can see from part c., the matrix element $<1^{2}|H|1^{2} > = 0$ (this is also a result of the Brillouin theorem) and hence this configuration does not enter into the first-order wavefunction.

i. $|0\rangle = |1|^2 > -0.0441982 |2|^2$. To normalize we divide by: $\sqrt{[1 + (0.0441982)^2]} = 1.0009762$

$$|0> = 0.999025|1^{2} > -0.044155|2^{2} >$$

In the 2x2 CI we obtained:

$$|0\rangle = 0.99845123 |1^2\rangle - 0.05563439 |2^2\rangle$$

j. The expression for the 2nd order RSPT is:

$$E^{(2)} = -\frac{|g_{2211}|^2}{2(2 - 1)} = -\frac{0.126170^2}{2(-0.228938 + 1.656258)}$$
$$= -0.005576 \text{ au}$$

Comparing the 2x2 CI energy obtained to the SCF result we have:

-4.279131 - (-4.272102) = -0.007029 au

 43.
 STO total energy:
 -2.8435283

 STO3G total energy
 -2.8340561

 3-21G total energy
 -2.8864405

The STO3G orbitals were generated as a best fit of 3 primitive Gaussians (giving 1 CGTO) to the STO. So, STO3G can at best reproduce the STO result. The 3-21G orbitals are more flexible since there are 2 CGTOs per atom. This gives 4 orbitals (more parameters to optimize) and a lower total energy.

44.

R	HeH ⁺ Energy	H ₂ Energy
1.0	-2.812787056	-1.071953297
1.2	-2.870357513	-1.113775015

1.4	-2.886440516	-1.122933507
1.6	-2.886063576	-1.115567684
1.8	-2.880080938	-1.099872589
2.0	-2.872805595	-1.080269098
2.5	-2.856760263	-1.026927710
10.0	-2.835679293	-0.7361705303

Plotting total energy vs. geometry for HeH+:



Plotting total energy vs. geometry for H₂:



For HeH⁺ at R = 10.0 au, the eigenvalues of the converged Fock matrix and the corresponding converged MO-AO coefficients are:

1003571E+01	4961988E+00	.5864846E+00	.1981702E+01	
.4579189E+00	8245406E-05	.1532163E-04	.1157140E+01	
.6572777E+00	4580946E-05	6822942E-05	1056716E+01	
1415438E-05	.3734069E+00	.1255539E+01	1669342E-04	
.1112778E-04	.7173244E+00	1096019E+01	.2031348E-04	

Notice that this indicates that orbital 1 is a combination of the s functions on He only (dissociating properly to $He + H^+$).

For H_2 at R = 10.0 au, the eigenvalues of the converged Fock matrix and the corresponding converged MO-AO coefficients are:

2458041E+00	1456223E+00	.1137235E+01	.1137825E+01	
.1977649E+00	1978204E+00	.1006458E+01	7903225E+00	
.5632566E+00	5628273E+00	8179120E+00	.6424941E+00	
.1976312E+00	.1979216E+00	.7902887E+00	.1006491E+01	
.5629326E+00	.5631776E+00	6421731E+00	8181460E+00	

Notice that this indicates that orbital 1 is a combination of the s functions on both H atoms (dissociating improperly; equal probabilities of H_2 dissociating to two neutral atoms or to a proton plus hydride ion).

45. The Π_2 Critesuit.

R	1 g+	³ u ⁺	1 u ⁺	1 g+
1.0	-1.074970	-0.5323429	-0.3997412	0.3841676
1.2	-1.118442	-0.6450778	-0.4898805	0.1763018
1.4	-1.129904	-0.7221781	-0.5440346	0.0151913
1.6	-1.125582	-0.7787328	-0.5784428	-0.1140074
1.8	-1.113702	-0.8221166	-0.6013855	-0.2190144
2.0	-1.098676	-0.8562555	-0.6172761	-0.3044956

2.5	-1.060052	-0.9141968	-0.6384557	-0.4530645
5.0	-0.9835886	-0.9790545	-0.5879662	-0.5802447
7.5	-0.9806238	-0.9805795	-0.5247415	-0.5246646
10.0	-0.980598	-0.9805982	-0.4914058	-0.4913532



For H_2 at R = 1.4 au, the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian matrix and the corresponding determinant amplitudes are:



1 g 1 g	0.99695	0.00000	0.00000	0.07802
1 g 1 u	0.00000	0.70711	0.70711	0.00000
1 g 1 u	0.00000	0.70711	-0.70711	0.00000
1 u 1 u	-0.07802	0.00000	0.00000	0.99695

This shows, as expected, the mixing of the first $\begin{array}{c}1\\g^{+}\end{array}$ $\begin{pmatrix}1\\g^{2}\end{pmatrix}$ and the 2nd $\begin{array}{c}1\\g^{+}\end{array}$ $\begin{pmatrix}1\\u^{2}\end{pmatrix}$ determinants in the first and fourth states, and the

$${}^{3}{}_{u}{}^{+} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\left| 1 \right|_{g} \left| 1 \right|_{u} \right| + \left| 1 \right|_{g} \left| 1 \right|_{u} \right| \right),$$

and 1 $_{u}^{+}=(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1 \ g \ 1 \ u \ | - |1 \ g \ 1 \ u \ |))$

states as the second and third states.

Also notice that the first 1 g⁺ state has coefficients (0.99695 - 0.07802) (note specifically the + - combination) and the second 1 g⁺ state has the opposite coefficients with the same signs (note specifically the + + combination). The + + combination always gives a higher energy than the + - combination.

46.

F atoms have $1s^22s^22p^5$ ²P ground electronic states that are split by spin-orbit coupling into ²P_{3/2} and ²P_{1/2} states that differ by only 0.05 eV in energy. a.

The degeneracy of a state having a given J is 2J+1, and the J=3/2 state is lower in energy because the 2p orbital shell is more than half filled (I learned this in inorganic chemistry class), so

 $q_{el} = 4 \exp(-0/kT) + 2 \exp(-0.05 \text{ eV/kT}).$

0.05 eV is equivalent to k(500 K), so 0.05/kT = 500/T, hence

 $q_{el} = 4 \exp(-0/kT) + 2 \exp(-500/T).$

b.

 $Q = q^N / N!$

so, $\ln Q = N \ln q - \ln N!$

 $E = kT^{2} \ln Q/T = NkT^{2} \ln q/T = Nk\{1000 \exp(-500/T)/[4 + 2 \exp(-500/T)]\}$ c. Using the fact that kT=0.03eV at T=300°K, make a (qualitative) graph of \overline{E} /N vs T for T ranging from 100°K to 3000°K.



At T = 100 K, E/N is small and equal to 1000k $\exp(-5)/(4 + 2 \exp(-5))$. At T = 3000 K, E/N has grown to 1000k $\exp(-1/6)/(4 + 2 \exp(-1/6))$ which is approximately 1000k/6.

47.

a.

The difference between a linear and bent transition state would arise in the vibrational and rotational partition functions. For the linear TS, one has 3N-6 vibrations (recall that

one loses one vibration as a reaction coordinate), but for the bent TS, one has 3N-7 vibrations. For the linear TS, one has 2 rotational axes, and for the bent TS, one has 3. So the ratio of rate constants will reduce to ratios of vibration and rotation partition functions. In particular, one will have

 $k_{\text{linear}}/k_{\text{bent}} = (q_{\text{vib}}{}^{3\text{N-6}} \; q_{\text{rot}}{}^2/q_{\text{vib}}{}^{3\text{N-7}} q_{\text{rot}}{}^3) = (q_{\text{vib}}/q_{\text{rot}}).$

b. Using

$$q_t \sim 10^8$$
, $q_r \sim 10^2$, $q_v \sim 1$,

I would expect klinear/kbent to be of the order of $1/10^2 = 10^{-2}$.

Constructing the Slater determinant corresponding to the "state" 1s()1s() with the rows labeling the orbitals and the columns labeling the electron gives:

$$|1s \ 1s \ | = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2!}} \frac{1s \ (1) \ 1s \ (2)}{1s \ (1) \ 1s \ (2)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1s \ (1)1s \ (2) - 1s \ (1)1s \ (2))$$
$$= 0$$

49.

Starting with the $M_S=1$ ³S state (which in a "box" for this $M_L=0$, $M_S=1$ case would contain only one product function; |1s 2s |) and applying S₋ gives:

S.
$${}^{3}S(S=1,M_{S}=1) = \sqrt{1(1+1) - 1(1-1)} h {}^{3}S(S=1,M_{S}=0)$$

= $h\sqrt{2} {}^{3}S(S=1,M_{S}=0)$

$$= (S_{-}(1) + S_{-}(2)) | 1s 2s |$$

$$= S_{-}(1)| 1s 2s | + S_{-}(2)| 1s 2s |$$

$$= h \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + 1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} - 1 | 1s 2s |$$

$$+ h \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + 1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} - 1 | 1s 2s |$$

48.

$$=h(|1s \ 2s |+|1s \ 2s |)$$

So, $h\sqrt{2} \ ^{3}S(S=1,M_{S}=0) = h_{(}|1s \ 2s | + |1s \ 2s |)$ $^{3}S(S=1,M_{S}=0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s | + |1s \ 2s |)$

The three triplet states are then:

$${}^{3}S(S=1,M_{S}=1)=|1s\ 2s|,$$

 ${}^{3}S(S=1,M_{S}=0)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1s\ 2s|+|1s\ 2s|)$, and
 ${}^{3}S(S=1,M_{S}=-1)=|1s\ 2s|.$

The singlet state which must be constructed orthogonal to the three singlet states (and in particular to the ${}^{3}S(S=1,M_{S}=0)$ state) can be seen to be:

$${}^{1}S(S=0,M_{S}=0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s | - |1s \ 2s |)$$

Applying S^2 and S_z to each of these states gives:

$$\begin{split} S_{z} &|1s \ 2s \ | &= (S_{z}(1) + S_{z}(2)) \ |1s \ 2s \ | \\ &= S_{z}(1) |1s \ 2s \ | + S_{z}(2)) |1s \ 2s \ | \\ &= h \ \frac{1}{2} \ |1s \ 2s \ | + h \ \frac{1}{2} \ |1s \ 2s \ | \\ &= h \ |1s \ 2s \ | \\ &= h \ |1s \ 2s \ | \\ S^{2} &|1s \ 2s \ | &= (S_{-}S_{+} + S_{z}^{2} + h \ S_{z}) \,|1s \ 2s \ | \\ &= S_{-}S_{+} |1s \ 2s \ | + S_{z}^{2} |1s \ 2s \ | + h \ S_{z} |1s \ 2s \ | \\ &= 0 + h^{2} \,|1s \ 2s \ | + h^{2} |1s \ 2s \ | \\ &= 2h^{2} \,|1s \ 2s \ | \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} S_{z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) &= (S_{z}(1) + S_{z}(2)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{z}(1) + S_{z}(2)) |1s \ 2s \ | \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{z}(1) + S_{z}(2)) |1s \ 2s \ | \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} h \ \frac{1}{2} + h \ \frac{1}{2} |1s \ 2s \ | \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} h \ \frac{1}{2} + h \ \frac{1}{2} |1s \ 2s \ | \\ &= 0h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 0h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= S.S_{+} \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= S.S_{+} \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= S.S_{+} \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S.(S_{+}(1) + S_{+}(2))|1s \ 2s \ | + S.(S_{+}(1) + S_{+}(2))|1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S.(S_{+}(1) + S_{+}(2))|1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S.(1) + S.(2))|1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ |) \\ &= 2h \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \$$

$$= h \cdot \frac{1}{2} ||s | 2s || + h \cdot \frac{1}{2} ||s | 2s ||$$

$$= -h ||s | 2s ||$$

$$S^{2} ||s | 2s || = (S_{+}S_{-} + S_{z}^{2} - h | S_{z})||s | 2s ||$$

$$= S_{+}S_{-}||s | 2s || + S_{z}^{2}||s | 2s || - h | S_{z} ||s | 2s ||$$

$$= 0 + h^{2} ||s | 2s || + h^{2}||s | 2s ||$$

$$= 2h^{2} ||s | 2s ||$$

$$S_{z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (||s | 2s || - ||s | 2s ||) = (S_{z}(1) + S_{z}(2)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (||s | 2s || - ||s | 2s ||)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{z}(1) + S_{z}(2)) ||s | 2s ||$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{z}(1) + S_{z}(2)) ||s | 2s ||$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{z}(1) + S_{z}(2)) ||s | 2s ||$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{z}(1) + S_{z}(2)) ||s | 2s ||$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (||s | 2s || - ||s | 2s ||)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (||s | 2s || - ||s | 2s ||)$$

$$= 0h \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (||s | 2s || - ||s | 2s ||)$$

$$= 0h \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (||s | 2s || - ||s | 2s ||)$$

$$= S_{-}S_{+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (||s | 2s || - ||s | 2s ||)$$

$$= S_{-}S_{+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (||s | 2s || - ||s | 2s ||)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{-}(S_{+}(1) + S_{+}(2))|||s | 2s || - S_{-}(S_{+}(1) + S_{+}(2))|||s | 2s ||)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{-}(S_{+}(1) + S_{+}(2))|||s | 2s || - S_{-}(S_{+}(1) + S_{+}(2))||||s | 2s ||)$$

$$= 0 h \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{-}(1) + S_{-}(2)) |1s 2s|)$$

= 0 h $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (h |1s 2s| - h |1s 2s|)$
= 0 h $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s 2s| - |1s 2s|)$

50.

As shown in problem 22c, for two equivalent electrons one obtains six states:

1
 (M_L=2); one state (M_S=0),

1
 (M_L=-2); one state (M_S=0),

1
 (M_L=0); one state (M_S=0), and

³ (M_L=0); three states (M_S=1,0, and -1).

By inspecting the "box" in problem 22c, it should be fairly straightforward to write down the wavefunctions for each of these:

¹ (M_L=2); | 1 1 |
¹ (M_L=-2); | -1 -1 |
¹ (M_L=0);
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$
(| 1 -1 |-| 1 -1 |)
³ (M_L=0, M_S=1); | 1 -1 |
³ (M_L=0, M_S=0); $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ (| 1 -1 |+| 1 -1 |)
³ (M_L=0, M_S=-1);
$$|_{1}$$
 -1 |

51.

We can conveniently couple another s electron to the states generated from the $1s^{1}2s^{1}$ configuration:

³S(L=0, S=1) with 3s¹(L=0, S=
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
) giving:
L=0, S= $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$; ⁴S (4 states) and ²S (2 states).
¹S(L=0, S=0) with 3s¹(L=0, S= $\frac{1}{2}$) giving:
L=0, S= $\frac{1}{2}$; ²S (2 states).

Constructing a "box" for this case would yield:

M_L	0
M _S	
$\frac{3}{2}$	1s 2s 3s
$\frac{1}{2}$	1s 2s 3s , 1s 2s 3s , 1s 2s 3s

One can immediately identify the wavefunctions for two of the quartets (they are single entries):

$${}^{4}S(S=\frac{3}{2},M_{S}=\frac{3}{2}):|1s\ 2s\ 3s|$$

 ${}^{4}S(S=\frac{3}{2},M_{S}=\frac{3}{2}):|1s\ 2s\ 3s|$

Applying S_ to ${}^4S(S{=}\frac{3}{2}\,,\!M_S{=}\frac{3}{2}\,)$ yields:

$$S_{-4}S(S = \frac{3}{2}, M_{S} = \frac{3}{2}) = h \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2} + 1) - \frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2} - 1)} \frac{4S(S = \frac{3}{2}, M_{S} = \frac{1}{2})$$
$$= h \sqrt{3} \frac{4S(S = \frac{3}{2}, M_{S} = \frac{1}{2})$$

 $S_{-}|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ | = h (|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ 3s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |)$

So, ${}^{4}S(S=\frac{3}{2}, M_{S}=\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|1s \ 2s \ 3s |+|1s \ 2s \ 3s |+|1s \ 2s \ 3s |)$

Applying S+ to ${}^4S(S{=}\frac{3}{2}\,,\!M_S{=}{-}\frac{3}{2}\,)$ yields:

$$S_{+}^{4}S(S=\frac{3}{2}, M_{S}=-\frac{3}{2}) = h \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+1) - \frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+1)} \frac{4}{4}S(S=\frac{3}{2}, M_{S}=-\frac{1}{2})$$
$$= h \sqrt{3} \frac{4}{5}(S=\frac{3}{2}, M_{S}=-\frac{1}{2})$$

$$S_{+}|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ | = h (|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ 3s \ | + |1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |)$$

So,
$${}^{4}S(S=\frac{3}{2}, M_{S}=-\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|1s \ 2s \ 3s |+|1s \ 2s \ 3s |+|1s \ 2s \ 3s |)$$

It only remains to construct the doublet states which are orthogonal to these quartet states. Recall that the orthogonal combinations for systems having three equal components (for example when symmetry adapting the 3 sp² hybrids in C_{2v} or D_{3h} symmetry) give results of + + +, +2 - -, and 0 + -. Notice that the quartets are the + + + combinations and therefore the doublets can be recognized as:

$${}^{2}S(S=\frac{1}{2}, M_{S}=\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |+|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |-2|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |)$$

$${}^{2}S(S=\frac{1}{2}, M_{S}=\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |-|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |+0|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |)$$

$${}^{2}S(S=\frac{1}{2}, M_{S}=-\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |+|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |-2|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |)$$

$${}^{2}S(S=\frac{1}{2}, M_{S}=-\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |-|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |+0|1s \ 2s \ 3s \ |)$$

5	0	
J	4	•

As illustrated in problem 24, a p^2 configuration (two equivalent p electrons) gives rise to the term symbols: ³P, ¹D, and ¹S. Coupling an additional electron (3d¹) to this p^2 configuration will give the desired $1s^22s^22p^23d^1$ term symbols:

³P(L=1,S=1) with ²D(L=2,S=
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
) generates;
L=3,2,1, and S= $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ with term symbols ⁴F, ²F,⁴D, ²D,⁴P, and ²P,

¹D(L=2,S=0) with ²D(L=2,S=
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
) generates;
L=4,3,2,1,0, and S= $\frac{1}{2}$ with term symbols ²G, ²F, ²D, ²P, and ²S,
¹S(L=0,S=0) with ²D(L=2,S= $\frac{1}{2}$) generates;
L=2 and S= $\frac{1}{2}$ with term symbol ²D.

53. The notation used for the Slater Condon rules will be as follows:

(a.) zero (spin orbital) difference;

(b.) one (spin orbital) difference (p p');

$$\langle F + G \rangle = \langle p|f| p' \rangle + \langle p j|g| p' j \rangle - \langle p j|g| j p' \rangle$$

$$= f_{pp'} + (g_{pjp'j} - g_{pjjp'})$$

j p;p'

(c.) two (spin orbital) differences (p = p' and q = q');

$$<|F + G| > = -$$

(d.) three or more (spin orbital) differences;

$$\langle |F + G| > = 0$$

i.
$${}^{3}P(M_{L}=1, M_{S}=1) = |p_{1} p_{0}|$$

 $<|p_{1} p_{0} |H|p_{1} p_{0}| > = <|10|H|10|>$

Using the Slater Condon rule (a.) above (I will denote these SCa-SCd):

$$<|10|H|10|> = f_{11} + f_{00} + g_{1010} - g_{1001}$$

ii.
$${}^{3}P(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|p_{1} p_{-1} |+|p_{1} p_{-1} |)$$

 $<{}^{3}P(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0)|H|{}^{3}P(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0)>$
 $= \frac{1}{2}(<|p_{1} p_{-1} |H|p_{1} p_{-1} |> + <|p_{1} p_{-1} |H|p_{1} p_{-1} |>$
 $+ <|p_{1} p_{-1} |H|p_{1} p_{-1} |> + <|p_{1} p_{-1} |H|p_{1} p_{-1} |>)$

Evaluating each matrix element gives:

 $\langle |p_1 \ p_{-1} \ |H|p_1 \ p_{-1} \ | \ge = f_{1 \ 1} + f_{-1 \ -1} + g_{1 \ -1} \ 1 \ -1 \ -g_{1 \ -1} \ -1 \ 1 \ (SCa)$ $= f_{11} + f_{-1-1} + g_{1-11-1} - 0$ $\langle |p_1 \ p_{-1} \ |H|p_1 \ p_{-1} \ | \ge = g_{1 \ -1} \ 1 \ -1 \ -g_{1 \ -1} \ -1 \ 1 \ (SCc)$ $= 0 - g_{1-1-11}$ $\langle |p_1 \ p_{-1} \ |H|p_1 \ p_{-1} \ | \ge = g_{1 \ -1} \ 1 \ -1 \ -g_{1 \ -1} \ -1 \ 1 \ (SCc)$ $= 0 - g_{1-1-11}$ $\langle |p_1 \ p_{-1} \ |H|p_1 \ p_{-1} \ | \ge = f_{1 \ 1} \ +f_{-1 \ -1} \ +g_{1 \ -1} \ -1 \ -g_{1 \ -1} \ -1 \ 1 \ (SCa)$

149

$$= f_{11} + f_{-1-1} + g_{1-11-1} - 0$$

Substitution of these expressions give:

$$<^{3}P(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0)|H|^{3}P(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0)>$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}(f_{11}+f_{-1-1}+g_{1-11-1}-g_{1-1-1-1}-g_{1-1-1-1}-g_{1-$$

$$\begin{split} \text{iii. }^{1}S(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0); & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|p_{0} \ p_{0} \ | - |p_{1} \ p_{-1} \ | - |p_{-1} \ p_{1} \ |) \\ & <^{1}S(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0)|H|^{1}S(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0) > \\ & = \frac{1}{3}(<|p_{0} \ p_{0} \ |H|p_{0} \ p_{0} \ | > - <|p_{0} \ p_{0} \ |H|p_{1} \ p_{-1} \ | > \\ & - <|p_{0} \ p_{0} \ |H|p_{-1} \ p_{1} \ | > - <|p_{1} \ p_{-1} \ |H|p_{0} \ p_{0} \ | > \\ & + <|p_{1} \ p_{-1} \ |H|p_{1} \ p_{-1} \ | > + <|p_{1} \ p_{-1} \ |H|p_{1} \ p_{-1} \ | > \\ & + <|p_{-1} \ p_{1} \ |H|p_{0} \ p_{0} \ | > + <|p_{-1} \ p_{1} \ |H|p_{1} \ p_{-1} \ | > \end{split}$$

Evaluating each matrix element gives:

$$= g_0 \ _0 \ _1 \ _-1 \ _- g_0 \ _0 \ _-1 \ _1 \ (SCc)$$

 $= g_{001-1} - 0$ $<|p_0 p_0 ||H|p_{-1} p_1 || > = <|p_{-1} p_1 ||H|p_0 p_0 || >$ $= g_0 0 - 1 1 - g_0 0 1 - 1 (SCc)$ $= g_{00-11} - 0$ $<|p_1 p_{-1} ||H|p_1 p_{-1} || > = f_1 1 + f_{-1} - 1 + g_1 - 1 1 - 1 - g_1 - 1 - 1 1 (SCa)$ $= f_{11} + f_{-1-1} + g_{1-11-1} - 0$ $<|p_1 p_{-1} ||H|p_{-1} p_1 || > = <|p_{-1} p_1 ||H|p_1 p_{-1} || >$ $= g_1 - 1 - 1 1 - g_1 - 1 1 - 1 (SCc)$ $= g_{1-1-11} - 0$ $<|p_{-1} p_1 ||H|p_{-1} p_1 || > = f_{-1} - 1 + f_{1} 1 + g_{-1} 1 - 1 1 - g_{-1} 1 1 - 1 (SCa)$

 $= f_{-1-1} + f_{11} + g_{-11-11} - 0$

Substitution of these expressions give:

$$<^{1}S(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0)|H|^{1}S(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0) >$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} (f_{00} + f_{00} + g_{0000} - g_{001-1} - g_{00-11} - g_{001-1} + f_{11} + f_{-1-1} + g_{1-11-1} + g_{1-1-11} - g_{00-11} + g_{1-1-11} + f_{-1-1} + f_{11} + g_{-11-11})$$

$$=\frac{1}{3}(2f_{00}+2f_{11}+2f_{-1-1}+g_{0000}-4g_{001-1}+2g_{1-11-1}+2g_{1-1-11})$$

iv.
$${}^{1}D(M_{L}=0, M_{S}=0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2|p_{0} p_{0}| + |p_{1} p_{-1}| + |p_{-1} p_{1}|)$$

Evaluating $<^{1}D(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0)|H|^{1}D(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0)>$ we note that all the Slater Condon matrix elements generated are the same as those evaluated in part iii. (the signs for the wavefunction components and the multiplicative factor of two for one of the components, however, are different).

$$<^{1}D(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0)|H|^{1}D(M_{L}=0,M_{S}=0) >$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} (4f_{00} + 4f_{00} + 4g_{0000} + 2g_{001-1} + 2g_{00-11} + 2g_{001-1} + f_{11} + f_{-1-1} + g_{1-11-1} + g_{1-1-11} + 2g_{00-11} + g_{1-1-11} + f_{-1-1} + f_{11} + g_{-11-11})$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} (8f_{00} + 2f_{11} + 2f_{-1-1} + 4g_{0000} + 8g_{001-1} + 2g_{1-11-1} + 2g_{1-1-11})$$

54.	

i. ¹
$$(M_L=2,M_S=0) = |_1 |_1 |$$

 $<^1 (M_L=2,M_S=0)|H|^1 (M_L=2,M_S=0) >$
 $= <|_1 |_1 |H| |_1 |_1 |>$
 $= f_1 |_1 + f_1 |_1 + g_1 |_1 |_1 - g_1 |_1 |_1 |_1 (SCa)$
 $= f_{11} + f_{11} + g_{1111} - 0$
 $= 2f_{11} + g_{1111}$

ii. ¹
$$(M_L=0,M_S=0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(| 1 -1 | -1 | 1 -1 |)$$

 $<^3 (M_L=0,M_S=0)|H|^3 (M_L=0,M_S=0)>$
 $= \frac{1}{2}(<| 1 -1 |H| |1 -1 |> -<| 1 -1 |H| |1 -1 |>$
 $-<| 1 -1 |H| |1 -1 |> +<| 1 -1 |H| |1 -1 |>)$

Evaluating each matrix element gives:

$$<|1 -1| |H| |1 -1| > = f_{1 | 1} + f_{-1 | -1} + g_{1 | -1 | 1} - g_{1 | -1 | -1 | 1} (SCa)$$

$$= f_{11} + f_{-1-1} + g_{1-11-1} - 0$$

$$<|1 -1| |H| |1 -1| > = g_{1 | -1 | 1} - g_{1 | -1 | 1} (SCc)$$

$$= 0 - g_{1-1-11}$$

$$<|1 -1| |H| |1 -1| > = g_{1 | -1 | 1} - g_{1 | -1 | 1} (SCc)$$

$$= 0 - g_{1-1-11}$$

$$<|1 -1| |H| |1 -1| > = f_{1 | 1} + f_{-1 | -1} + g_{1 | -1 | 1} - g_{1 | -1 | -1 | 1} (SCa)$$

$$= f_{11} + f_{-1-1} + g_{1-11-1} - 0$$

Substitution of these expressions give:

iii. ³ (M_L=0,M_S=0) =
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1 -1| + |1 -1|)$$

$$<^{3}$$
 (M_L=0,M_S=0)|H|³ (M_L=0,M_S=0)>

$$= f_{11} + f_{-1-1} + g_{1-11-1} - 0$$

$$<| 1 -1 |H| 1 -1 |> = g_{1} -1 1 -1 - g_{1} -1 -1 1 (SCc)$$

$$= 0 - g_{1-1-11}$$

$$<| 1 -1 |H| 1 -1 |> = g_{1} -1 1 -1 - g_{1} -1 -1 1 (SCc)$$

$$= 0 - g_{1-1-11}$$

$$<| 1 -1 |H| 1 -1 |> = f_{1} 1 + f_{-1} -1 + g_{1} -1 1 -1 - g_{1} -1 -1 1 (SCa)$$

$$= f_{11} + f_{-1-1} + g_{1-11-1} - 0$$

Substitution of these expressions give:

55.

The order of the answers is J, I, G. K, B, D, E, A, C, H, F

56.

$$p = N/(V-Nb) - N^2 a/(kTV^2)$$

but
$$p/kT = (lnQ/V)_{T,N}$$

so we can integrate to obtain ln Q

$$\ln Q = (p/kT) dV = [N/(V-Nb) - N^2 a/(kTV^2)] dV$$

$$= N \ln(V-Nb) + N^2 a/kT (1/V)$$

So,

$Q = \{(V-Nb)exp[(a/kT) (N/V)]\}^{N}$

57.

a.

MD because you need to keep track of how far the molecule moves as a function of time and MC does not deal with time.

b.

MC is capable of doing this although MD is also. However, MC requires fewer computational steps, so I would prefer to use it.

MC can do this, as could MD. Again, because MC needs fewer computational steps, I'd use it.

Suppose you are carrying out a Monte-Carlo simulation involving 1000 Ar atoms. Further suppose that the potentials are pairwise additive and that your computer requires approximately 50 floating point operations (FPO's) (e.g. multiply, add, divide, etc.) to compute the interaction potential between any pair of atoms

d.

For each MC move, we must compute only the change in potential energy. To do this, we need to compute only the change in the pair energies that involve the atom that was moved. This will require 999x50 FPOs (the 99 being the number of atoms other than the one that moved). So, for a million MC steps, I would need 10^6 x 999 x 50 FPOs. At 100 x 10^6 FPOs per second, this will require 495 seconds, or a little over eight minutes.

e.

Because the statistical fluctuations in MC calculations are proportional to $(1/N)^{1/2}$, where N is the number of steps taken, I will have to take 4 times as many steps to cut the statistical errors in half. So, this will require 4 x 495 seconds or 1980 seconds.

f.

If we have one million rather than one thousand atoms, the 495 second calculation of part d would require

999,999/999

times as much time. This ratio arises because the time to compute the change in potential energy accompanying a MC move is proportional to the number of other atoms. So, the calculation would take 495 x (999,999/999) seconds or about 500,000 seconds or about 140 hours.

g.

We would be taking 10^{-9} s/(10^{-15} s per step) = 10^{6} MD steps.

Each step requires that we compute all forces(- V $R_{I,J}$) between all pairs of atoms. There are 1000x999/2 such pairs. So, to compute all the forces would require (1000x999/2)x 50 FPOs = 2.5 x10⁷ FPOs. So, we will need

2.5 x10⁷ FPOs/step x 10⁶ steps/(100 FPOs per second)

 $= 2.5 \text{ x}10^5$ seconds or about 70 hours.

h.

The graduate student is 10^8 times slower than the 100 Mflop computer, so it will take her/him 10^8 times as long, so 495 x 10^8 seconds or about 1570 years.

58.

First, Na has a ²S ground state term symbol whose degeneracy is 2S + 1 = 2.

 Na_2 has a ¹ ground state whose degeneracy is 1.

The symmetry number for Na_2 is = 2.

The D_0 value given is 17.3 kcal mol⁻¹.

The K_p equilibrium constant would be given in terms of partial pressures as (and then using pV=NkT)

$$K_p = p_{Na}^2/p_{Na2} = (kT)^{-1} (q_{Na}/V)^2/(q_{Na2}/V)$$

in terms of the partition functions.

a.

$$q_{Na} = (2 \text{ mkT/h}^2)^{3/2} \text{ V } q_{el}$$

 $q_{NA2} = (2 \text{ m'kT/h}^2)^{3/2} \text{ V} (8 ^2 \text{IkT/h}^2) 1/2 \text{ [exp-h /2kT)} (1-\text{exp-h /kT)})^{-1} \exp(D_e/kT)$

We can combine the D_{e} and the $-h_{}/2kT$ to obtain the D_{0} which is what we were given.

b. For Na (I will use cgs units in all cases):

$$q/V = (2 \quad 23 \ 1.66 \times 10^{-24} \ 1.38 \ \times 10^{-16} \ 1000)^{3/2} \ 2$$

= (6.54 \times 10^{26}) \times 2 = 1.31 \times 10^{27}

For Na₂:

$$q/N = 2^{3/2} x (6.54 x 10^{26}) (1000/0.221) (1/2) (1 - exp(-229/1000))^{-1} exp(D_0/kT)$$
$$= 1.85 x 10^{27} (2.26 x 10^3) (4.88) (5.96 x 10^3)$$
$$= 1.22 x 10^{35}$$

So,

$$K_{p} = [1.22 \text{ x} 10^{35}] / [(1.38 \text{ x} 10^{-16})(1000) (1.72 \text{ x} 10^{54})]$$
$$= 0.50 \text{ x} 10^{-6} \text{ dynes cm}^{-2} = 0.50 \text{ atm}^{-1}.$$

The differences in k_{rate} will arise from differences in the number of translational, rotational, and vibrational partition functions arising in the adsorbed and gas-phase species. Recall that

$$k_{rate} = (kT/h) \exp(-E^*/kT) [q^{TS}/V]/[(q_{NO}/V) (q_{C12}/V)]$$

In the gas phase,

NO has 3 translations, two rotations, and one vibration Cl_2 has 3 translations, two rotations, and one vibration the NOCl₂ TS, which is bent, has 3 translations, three rotations, and five vibrations (recall that one vibration is missing and is the reaction coordinate)

In the adsorbed state,

NO has 2 translations, one rotation, and three vibrations

Cl₂ has 2 translations, one rotation, and three vibrations

the NOCl₂ TS, which is bent, has 2 translations, one rotation, and eight vibrations (again,

one vibration is missing and is the reaction coordinate).

So, in computing the partition function ratio:

$$[q^{TS}/V]/[(q_{NO}/V) (q_{C12}/V)]$$

for the adsorbed and gas-phase cases, one does not obtain the same number of translational, rotational, and vibrational factors. In particular, the ratio of these factors for the adsorbed and gas-phase cases gives the ratio of rate constants as follows:

$$k_{ad}/k_{gas} = (q_{trans}/V)/q_{vib}$$

which should be of the order of 10^8 (using the ratio of partition functions as given). Notice that this result suggests that reaction rates can be altered by constraining the reacting species to move freely in lower dimensions even if one does not alter the energetics (e.g., activation energy or thermochemistry).